# The Pattern of Physical Injuries among Victims of Fatal Accidents in Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh

# Brinda Patel<sup>1</sup>, SK Asawa<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Senior Resident, Dept of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology, AIIMS, Bhopal, M.P., <sup>2</sup>Professor & Head, Dept of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology, Government Medical College, Dungarpur, Rajasthan

#### **Abstract**

**Background:** The pattern of injuries is unique to the mode of the accident as well as to the causative agent. The objective of the present study was to describe the pattern of injury among victims of a fatal accident and draw a medicolegal conclusion from the pattern of injury. Methods: A total of 145 dead bodies brought for autopsy at the two selected post-mortemcentres were included in the present study. The socio-demographic data like age, sex, religion, occupation as well as the circumstances leading to the death of the individual were gathered from documents and detailed interview of the friends/relatives/eyewitnesses etc. Among study participants, burns were the single largest category of accidents closely followed by road traffic accidents. The brain was the most common internal organ injured and the skull was the most common major bone fractured among the victims. One-fourth of all study participants were dead before being brought to hospital and onethird of all study participants survived for more than 48 hours after the incident. Conclusion: Septicaemic shock and craniocerebral injury were the most common cause of death among study participants.

Keywords: Accidents, Injury, Burns, Fall, Fatal, India.

# Introduction

Trauma is an injury inflicted on a living tissue caused by the application of external force. [1] As per section 44 ofthe Indian Penal Code, an injury is defined as any harm illegally caused to any person in body, mind, reputation, or property. [2] Injuries can be classified as physical (or mechanical), chemical, thermal & miscellaneous depending on the nature of the causative agent.[3]For medico-legal purposes, injuries can also be classified as suicidal, homicidal, accidental, fabricated, self-inflicted&defence. Traumatic instances can be unintentional (accidental) or intentional (suicidal or homicidal).<sup>[4]</sup>It is estimated that worldwide about five million people die each year due tosome type of injury. [5] Moreover, different types of injuries collectively account for about 9% of global mortality &12% of DALY (disability-adjusted life years).<sup>[5]</sup>

An accident is the occurrence of a series of events resulting in unintended injury, death, or property damage. It is an unplanned event, occurring suddenly, unexpectedly

& inadvertently in an unforeseencircumstance. [6] An accident is a result of defects laying either in the host (victim), agent (vehicle) & environment (road condition, traffic, weather conditions etc.) or a combination of defects at each of these three levels. Accidents represent an endemic of the modern and fast-changing world. There are different types of accident viz. road traffic accidents (RTA), railway accidents, falls fromheight, accidental fires, occupational accidents. In India, RTAs has themaximum share of unnatural deaths followed by burns.<sup>[7]</sup>Globally, RTAs are considered as the 3rd leading cause of death after heart disease & cancer<sup>[8]</sup>& the 3rd major preventable cause of unnatural deaths. [9] 'Fall from height' is defined by ICD-9 as an event where a person fallstoa ground-level from an upper level, whereas FICSIT (Frailty& InjuriesCooperative Studies) defines them as unintentionally coming to rest onthe ground or lower level.[10] The frequency, type & extensiveness of an injury depend on body weight, velocity, height, nature of surface impacted, body's orientation at impact.[11]The most important among all these factors is the 'height' of the fall.<sup>[11]</sup>Duringthe autopsy, the most important thing to do is to determine the primary site of impact to permit the forensic reconstruction of the eventtoform a medicolegal opinion whether the fall was suicidal, homicidal, or accidental.

The objective of the present study is to identify and describe the pattern of injuries sustained by victims of fatal accidents.

## Material and Methods

**Study Setting:** The present study was carried out at the mortuary of People's College ofMedical Sciences, Bhopal and Medicolegal Institute ofMadhya Pradesh, Bhopal. **Study Duration:** The total duration of the study was one & a halfyear; from 1st January 2014 to 30th June 2015. **Sample Size:** For this study, we sampled and collected data from a total of 145 dead bodies on which the autopsieswereconducted during the period of data collection.

Inclusion Criteria: Deaths due to accidents (e.g., road traffic accidents, fallsfrom height & thermal injuries), who either died before reaching the hospital or were admitted to hospital before their death. Exclusion Criteria: (I) deaths due to non-accidental trauma (interpersonal or self-harm)(ii) Decomposed bodies preventing a valid autopsy, (iii) deaths due to causes other than trauma.

**Data collection:** The detailed medico-legal postmortem examination was carried out in the two selected centres after receiving requisition from a concerned police official, the inquest report & the dead

body challan. The socio-demographic data like age, sex, religion, occupation as well as the circumstances leading to the death of the individual were gathered from documents like inquest report, dead body challan and through detailed interview of the friends, relatives, neighbours, eyewitnesses, and police officials accompanying the dead bodies. In cases where the death of the victim occurred in the hospital, the treatment records available were also studied. All medico-legally important findings were documented by taking scaled photographs, whenever & wherever possible.

**Data Analysis:** All the data were collected in a paper-based data collection form. Thereafter, the data will be coded and entered in Microsoft Excel. The coded data were imported into Stata 15.1 version for analysis. For the continuous data, the author calculated the mean, median, and standard deviation. For discrete data, the author calculated and reported frequency, proportion, and percentage.<sup>[12]</sup> Any statistical difference between the two proportions will be estimated using the Chisquare test.<sup>[13]</sup> Any statistical difference between the two means will be estimated using the T-test.<sup>[13]</sup>

## Results

During the period of data collection, a total of 145 dead bodies fulfilling the selection criteria were brought to the mortuaries for autopsy. Table 1 gives details about the type of accident. As can be inferred from table 1, burns were the single largest category of accidents followed by road traffic accidents resulting in death among study participants. There was only a single case ofdeath due to lightning.

Table 1: Distribution of study participants by type of accident (n=145)			
Type of accident	n	%	
Road traffic accident	53	36.6	
Railway accident	7	4.8	
Fall from height	18	12.4	
Burns	65	44.8	
Others	2	1.3	
Total	145	100.0	

Table 2 give details about the socio-demographic details of the study participants. The mean and the median age of the study participants was 38.6 and 28 years, respectively. Most participants were between 10-30 years of age followed by 30-60 years of age. Occupationally, most of the study participants were field workers and met with an accident while on their job. This was closely followed by females working as a homemaker.

Table 2: Distribution of study participants by their socio-demographic data (n=145)				
Age				
0-10	5	3.4		
10-30	77	53.1		
30-60	44	30.3		
>60	19	13.1		
	Gender			
Male	100	69.0		
Female	45	31.0		
	Religion			
Hindu	123	84.8		
Muslim	19	13.1		
Others	3	2.1		
	Marital Status			
Unmarried	56	38.6		
Married	79	54.5		
Other	10	6.9		
Occupation				
Field workers	51	35.2		
Factory worker	7	4.8		
Official/clerical	18	12.4		
Housewife	34	23.4		
Other	35	24.1		

Fracture of Major Bones	n^	%
Skull & Face	48	26.2
Neck and Thorax	18	9.8
Pelvis	31	16.9
Upper Limb	39	21.3
Lower Limb	47	25.7
1	NTERNAL ORGAN INJURY	
ORGAN	n^	%
Brain	41	30.4
Lung	23	17.0
Heart	23	17.0
Liver	17	12.6
Other	31	23.0

Table 3 illustrates the injury sustained by bones and internal organs among victims of the non-burn accident. Among study participants, skull & facialbones were the most commonly (26.2%) injured major bones closely followed by bones of lower limb (25.7%), neck & thorax

bones were least commonly injured bones (9.8%). Similarly, the brain was the most commonly injured organ (30.4%). Collectively, the hollow viscera of the abdomen were the second most common organ injured among the victims.

Table 4: Distribution of study participants based on he cause of death and survival after the accident (n=145)			
Cause of death	n	%	
Cranio-Cerebral Injury	44	30.3	
Septicemic Shock	53	36.6	
Polytrauma	21	14.5	
Hemorrhagic Shock	25	17.2	
Cardiac Arrest	32	22.1	

Cont	<b>Table 4: Distribution</b>	of study participants ba	sed onthe cause of death a	and survival after the acciden	t (n=145)
Contin	I doit it Distribution	of study participants bu	sea onthe cause of acath a	and survival after the acciden	t (11 113 <i>)</i>

SURVIVAL AFTER ACCIDENT			
Time	n	%	
Brought dead	28	19.3	
<6	19	13.1	
6-12 hour	8	5.5	
12-24 hour	10	6.9	
24-48 hours	31	21.4	
>48 hours	49	33.8	

Table 4 highlights the cause of death and the time for which the victim survived after the accident. Among study participants, septicaemic shock was the most common cause of death. This is hardly surprising as most study participants suffered a burn injury. This was closely followed by craniocerebral injury. In our study, most victims survived for more than 48 hours and about one-fifth of victims were declared dead on arrival at the hospital. Most participants who survived for more than 24 hours after the incident suffered from burn and most participants who were dead before reaching hospital suffered from poly-trauma.

## Discussion

The present study includeddata from a total of 145victims of fatal accidents whose dead bodies were brought to the mortuary of People's College of Medical Sciences & Research Centre (PCMS & RC), Bhopal and MedicolegalInstitute of Madhya Pradesh (MLI), Bhopal, for autopsy, during the period of data collection. The participants included victims of road traffic accidents, falls from height, railway accidents, accidental burns & other accidents. Most deaths were attributed to burns (44.8%), followed by road traffic accidents (36.6%), falls from height (12.4%), and railway accidents (4.8%).

In our study, out of a total of 145 victims, the majority weremales (69.0%). In Indian society, men are generally the more active group (both economically and physically), thereby exposing themselves to various injury-causing agents. This may explain the observed male preponderance among study subjects. In our study, the single most common age group was 20-60 years, which also corresponds to the age group of maximum economic and physical activity. Children <10 years of age constituted the least common age group among study participants. All these participants (children) exclusively suffered from either burn or fall from height. The majority of the victims belonged to the Hindu community followed by Muslims. Similar results of Hindu predominance followed by Muslim were also reported by Gowri S et al. [14] and Mazumdar A et al [15]. In the present study, most of the victims weremarried (54.5%), followedby unmarried (38.6%). This is easily understood as the most common age group in the present study was 21-40 years and this represents the bulk of the married population. Similar findings were also reported by other researchers e.g., Gowri S et al [14], Harish D et al [16]& Mangal HM et al [17].

In cases of death due to burn injury, women (61.53%) outnumbered men (38.46%). This female preponderance can be explained by the fact that in our study most accidental burn were kitchen related. Furthermore, in our study about 23% of all participants were homemaker. Such female predominance among victims of accidental burns injuries is also reported by other researchers viz. Dhillon S et al (2005) [18], Gowri S et al, [14] & Bharadwaj SD et al [19]. In contrast,Rani A et al [20] and Chaudhary BL et al [21] reported a slight male preponderance among burn victims. In our study male predominance was seeing among victims of accidents that happened outdoors such as RTA. Moreover, in our study all the victims of fall from height were men. Similar findings were also reported by Kumar M et al [22], Naik BV et al [23], and Satish NT [24].

In the present study, we observed that the most commonly affected region, bearing external injuries was head, neck & face/HNF, involving 74 cases(26%), followed by lower limb (25%), and upper limb (21%). Involvement of HNF & extremities was most common because of theobvious facts that all these are exposed & projecting body parts & mostactive parts at the time of infliction of trauma.Khan MK et al. observed a similar patternofdistribution, having a larger proportion of injuries in HNF & extremities ascompared to thorax & abdomen.<sup>[25]</sup> Merchant SP et al, Patil AM et al.also describes quite a similar pattern of injuries in conformity asobserved1 in the present study. [26, 27]Out of all the internal organs, the brain was the most injuredorgan (30%). Both lungs and heart were injured in 17% of cases each, whereas the liver was injured in about 12 %of cases. The brain was found to be the most injured organ in many studiesMandal BK et al (2012) of RTAs, falls from height & assault.<sup>[28]</sup>

Most cases of fracture were noted in the skull (26%), followed by lower limb (25%) followed by upper limb fractures (21%). As the head is the most prominent of the exposed parts of the body under its situation, it bears the brunt of violence in traumatic cases. *BairagiKK et al.* (2010) also reported that mostfractures were seen in the skull and face. Similarly, Ravikumar R (2013) found skull fractures in 67.75% of cases of RTA, especially among two-wheeler accidents. Mandal BK et al. also found the skull to be mostly fractured, followed by

thoracic bones & long bones respectively in RTA cases. <sup>[28]</sup>Kumar JVK et al (2013) observed that there were skullfractures in almost all cases of fall from height wherever primary headimpact was present. <sup>[31]</sup>

In the present study, one-third of all participants remained alive for >48 hours after the incident and about one-fourth of participants were declared dead on arrival at the hospital. The longest period of survival was predominantly seen among the victims of burn injury whereas in cases of head injury most victims survived < 48 hours. Patil AM et al hadmore or less similar results. [27] Khan MK et al. in their study on fatal head injury victims found that the greatest number of victims survived for 1-6hrs (27.17%).<sup>[25]</sup> Manish K. et al (2012) found that the maximum number succumbed to death within 6 hours.[32]The variation noted may be due to the difference in the type of trauma, type of organs involved & time of medicalattention etc. Among burn-related deaths, the majority survived for 3-7 days (48.64%); followed by spot deaths (22.97%). Such a long survival period was because the burnt tissue acts as a nidus for infection & sepsis setsin as a delayed complication leading to death in approximately 3-7 days. This was consistent with the results of GadgeSJ et al (2014) [33], Chaudhary BL et al [21] state that the maximum victims died on the spot, followed by a survival period of 3-7 days. Harish D (2013) on the other hand foundthat maximum victims survived for more than 1 week (24%).[16]

#### Conclusion

Accidents constitute a major chunk of preventable deaths. Most of the participants in our study were either physically or economically active or both. The burn injuries were most common among housewife as most burn accidents were domestic incidents. Among victims of non-burn trauma, the most common injured internal organ was the brain and the most common major bone fractured was the skull.

**Ethical Clearance:** The protocol for the present study was approved by the Ethical Committee on Human Research of the People College of Medical Sciences,

Bhopal.

**Funding**: None; this manuscript is part of the dissertation for M.D. Forensic Medicine.

**Conflict of Interest:** Authors declare they have no conflict of interest.

**Acknowledgement:** We want to thank Dr Pavan for proof-editing the final draft of the manuscript.

#### References

- 1. Krupnik V. Trauma or adversity? Traumatology. 2019 Dec;25(4):256.
- 2. Dr K. S. Narayan Reddy; The Essentials of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology; 33rd edition, 2014.
- 3. Gaur KD. Textbook on the Indian penal Code. Universal Law Publishing; 2009.
- Dasari H, Ajay K, Deep AA, Amandeep S. Dangerous Injury or Injury Dangerous to Life or Grievous Hurt Endangering Life: A Dilemma. Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine. 2017;39(2).
- 5. Haagsma JA, Graetz N, Bolliger I, Naghavi M, Higashi H, Mullany EC, Abera SF, Abraham JP, Adofo K, Alsharif U, Ameh EA. The global burden of injury: incidence, mortality, disability-adjusted life years and time trends from the Global Burden of Disease study 2013. Injury prevention. 2016 Feb 1;22(1):3-18.
- Kjellén U. The deviation concept in occupational accident control—I: Definition and classification. Accident Analysis & Prevention. 1984 Aug 1;16(4):289-306.
- 7. Menon GR, Singh L, Sharma P, Yadav P, Sharma S, Kalaskar S, Singh H, Adinarayanan S, Joshua V, Kulothungan V, Yadav J. National burden estimates of healthy life lost in India, 2017: an analysis using direct mortality data and indirect disability data. The Lancet Global Health. 2019 Dec 1;7(12):e1675-84.
- 8. Hazen A, Ehiri JE. Road traffic injuries: hidden epidemic in less developed countries. Journal of the National Medical Association. 2006 Jan;98(1):73.

- 9. McIlvenny S. Road traffic accidents-a challenging epidemic. Sultan Qaboos University Medical Journal. 2006 Jun;6(1):3.
- Ory MG, Schechtman KB, Miller JP, Hadley EC, Fiatarone MA, Province MA, Arfken CL, Morgan D, Weiss S, Kaplan M, FICSIT group. Frailty and injuries in later life: the FICSIT trials. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 1993 Mar;41(3):283-96
- 11. Risser D, Bönsch A, Schneider B, Bauer G. Risk of dying after a free fall from height. Forensic science international. 1996 Apr 23;78(3):187-91.
- 12. Fisher MJ, Marshall AP. Understanding descriptive statistics. Australian Critical Care. 2009 May 1;22(2):93-7.
- 13. Daniel WW, Cross CL. Biostatistics: a foundation for analysis in the health sciences. Wiley; 2018 Nov 13.
- 14. Gowri S, Naik VA, Powar R, Honnungar R, Mallapur MD. Epidemiology and outcome of burn injuries. Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine. 2012;34(4):312-4.
- 15. Mazumder A, Patowary A. A study of pattern of burn injury cases. Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine. 2013;35(1):44-6.
- Harish D, Kaur C, Singh A, Kumar A. A comprehensive analysis of deaths due to burns in a tertiary care centre. J Punjab Acad Forensic Med Toxicol. 2013 Jul 1;13(2):68-73.
- 17. Mangal HM, Pathak A, Rathod JS. The fire is both "A blessing & scourge to the mankind". Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine. 2007;29(4):75-7.
- 18. Dhillon S, Sekhon HS, Mishra VK. Study of burn deaths in and around Shimla district. Journal of Punjab Academy of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology. 2005;5(5):18-9.
- 19. Bhardwaj SD, Sinha U. An epidemiological survey of burn injuries in rural area, Bhopal: A cross-sectional study. Indian journal of burns. 2012 Jan 1;20(1):62.
- 20. Rani A, Behera C, Dikshit PC. Patterns of fatal scald burns in Central Delhi A retrospective study.

- Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine. 2012;34(4):295-8.
- Chaudhary BL, Yadav P, Kumar M, Rahul B. Mortality profile of burn injuries: a postmortem study in Lady Hardinge medical college, New Delhi. J Indian Acad Forensic Med. 2013 Apr;35(2):123-6.
- 22. Kumar M, Husain M, Saeed S, Huda MF, Usmani JA. Head injuries sustained by children due to fall from height: a comprehensive study. Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine. 2013;35(4):305-7.
- Naik BV, Jakkam S. Blunt injuries of abdomen in Warangal area an analytical study. Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine. 2013;35(4):328-31.
- Satish NT, Harish S, YP GC. Study of Pattern of Injuries in Fatal Railway Accidents. Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology. 2012 Jul 1;6(2):257.
- 25. Khan MK, Haroon A, Hanif SA, Husain M. A study of pattern of fatal head injury at JNMC Hospital, Aligarh. Indian journal of forensic Medicine & Toxicology. 2012 Jul 1;6(2):53.
- 26. Merchant SP, Zariwala RC, Mehta T, Bhise R. Epidemiology of road traffic accidents (RTA) victims in Ahmedabad–A study of 5 years (1995–1999). Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine. 2009;31(1):37-42.

- 27. Patil AM, Meshram SK, Sukhadeve RB. Unusual fall from height in an elevator: a case report. Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine. 2013;35(1):86-90.
- Mandal BK, Karn A, Pradhan A, Jha S. Study of Pattern of Injuries in Victims of Fatal Road Trafic Accidents in Nepal. Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology. 2012 Jul 1;6(2):29.
- Bairagi KK, Barbhuiyan SI, Hazarika N. Injuries in riders and pillion riders of fatal two wheeler road traffic accidents in city of Guwahati. Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine Toxicology. 2010 Jan;4(1):6.
- Ravikumar R. Patterns of head injuries in road traffic accidents involving two wheelers: an autopsy study.
  J Indian Acad Forensic Med. 2013;35(4):0971-3.
- 31. Kumar JV, Srivastava AK. Pattern of injuries in fall from height. Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine. 2013;35(1):47-50.
- 32. Manish K, Gurudatta SP, Sangram R, Jyothi NS. Incidence and Patterns of Skull Fractures in Accidental Deaths at Davanagere, Karnataka. Indian journal of forensic Medicine & Toxicology. 2012 Jul 1;6(2).
- 33. Gadge SJ, Meshram RD, Shrigiriwar MB, Kuchekar SV. Epidemiological study of fatal burns cases in SVN government medical college. J Acad Ind Res. 2014 Mar;2(10):552-5.