Type of article : Review article

Recent Advances in Cytology-A Review

R.Hariharan[1], N.Anitha[2], E.Rajesh[2], N.AravindhaBabu[3],

[1]Post graduate Student, [2]Reader, [3]Professor, Department of Oral pathology and Microbiology, Sree Balaji Dental College and Hospital, Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research

Abstract

In olden days, specificity and sensitivity of oral cytology is poor. Utilizing traditional oral cytology for the conclusive diagnosis of malignancyand premalignant lesions. But with the recent cytological techniques paves cytology to conclude a final diagnosis. This reestablished interest is somewhat because of the presentation of a specific brush that gathers a full-thickness epithelial sample and not simply sloughed cells, just as examination of that sample with computer support and analysis. There is an assortment of adjunctive strategies have been acquainted with possibly upgrade the finding of the cytologic examples including DNA examination, immunocytochemistry, molecular analysis, and liquid based preparations. An expansion in sensitivity (>96%) and specificity (>90%) of the oral brush biopsy with computer support, diagnosis has been accounted for detection of malignant and premalignant lesions. Brush cytology is significant to forestall misdiagnosing suspicious oral lesions.

Key Words: *Brush biopsy, malignancy, cytobrush, cytopathology, dysplasia.*

Introduction

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is related with high morbidity and mortality, which is expected, in any event in part, to late detection[1]. Early diagnosis and treatment of OSCC are the best mediations for improving patient's welfare and living quality of life. In spite of the checked advances in treatment of different malignancies, the future of patients with oral malignancies has not improved for the last 50 years. OSCC is the 6th most common malignancy in the world. It is assessed that 36,540 (25,420 men and 11,120 ladies) new cases of oral cavity and pharyngeal malignancies will be analyzed in the United States during 2010, while 7,880 (5,430 men and 2,450 ladies) patients will die of the disease[2].

It is notable that most of OSCC, if not all, create in precancerous fields described by explicit genetic alterations. Transepithelial ''field mapping biopsies'' within widespread lesions are much more fundamental for cytological assessment and further investigation[3]. Precancerous and cancerous oral lesions may imitate any number of considerate oral lesions showing up as a white or red lesions (leukoplakia, erythroplakia, and erythro/leukoplakia)[4]. The malignant transformation of these lesions is for the most part surveyed by histopathology dependent on the presence and the level of dysplasia in biopsy material, graded as gentle, moderate, and severe[5].

Although conventional cytology was utilized for assessing oral lesions as far back as 1963[6], it has not been generally embraced and has fallen into unsavoriness in many centers in view of helpless affectability and explicitness for recognizing dysplasia and malignancy. During the 1980s, a cytobrush was presented for cervical smears in gynaecological lesions. This procedure improved the way toward spreading cells on to slides, contrasted and spreads got by utilizing a wooden spatula, in this manner improving the quality of the smears[7]. Additionally, sampling of deeper mucosal layers with insignificant invasion was conceivable, particularly, cells from the basal and parabasal layers, where most cervical

Corresponding Author:
Dr. R.Hariharan
Post graduate student, Department of Oral pathology and Microbiology, Sree Balaji Dental College and Hospital, Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research

intraepithelial lesions or squamous intra-epithelial lesions normally develop[8]. The transformation of the cytobrush for oral cancer diagnoses restored significant enthusiasm for oral cytology. From that point forward, different investigations have been distributed portraying diverse symptomatic procedures that have improved the sensitivity and specificity of regular oral cytology[9-12]. This article reviews the improvements in oral cytological finding throughout the long term and fundamentally inspects the more recent adjunctive procedures that have been utilized toimprove these outcomes.

RECENT ADVANCES IN CYTOLOGICAL TECHNIQUES:

The brush end up being a more helpful instrument to the analyst contrasted and the wooden spatula when managing oral lesions[13].Furthermore, the brush has been utilized to diagnose other oral lesions like oral candidiasis, epithelial disease because of Epstein-Barr infection in oral lesions of hairy leukoplakia, pemphigus, Herpes simplex infection (HSV), and radiation reaction [14].

The significance of brush biopsy in assessing benignlooking oral lesions that would have been ''viewed'' and not tried has been accentuated in a multicentre study where almost 5% of such lesions which were inspected by utilizing brush biopsy, examined by picture investigation, and later affirmed by utilizing scalpel biopsy to speak to dysplastic epithelial changes or invasive cancer [15]. If a malignancy covers an enormous zone, it is essential to cautiously select the most proper site of the scalpel biopsy. Consolidated utilization of toluidine blue staining and brush cytology has likewise been tried to help restrict the correct site for brushing an lesion. This blend was discovered to be exceptionally delicate and reasonably specific for malignant lesionswith false negative rate of 6% however less delicate for the premalignant lesions [16]. Remmerbach et al propounded both the convenience ofcytology for diagnosing doubtful lesions and the daily practice use of the AgNOR (nucleolar organizer regions) examination to decide the nucleolar action of oral malignancy[17].

LIQUID BASED CYTOLOGY:

Liquid based cytology (LBC) is an specimen filtration strategy that was initially evolved to give a nearmonolayer of superficial cervical cells that could be more effortlessly assessed by a cytotechnologist or a basic computer looking at Papanicolaou smears. Use of LBC on oral smears gathered by utilizing a cytobrush has been professed to show noteworthy improvement in cell dispersion and smear thickness, prompting for easier detection of abnormal cells[18]. The flimsy layer planning licenses less tedious manual investigation, likened to cervical fluid based arrangements. Reports of consolidated utilization of an intrusive dermatological curette and the LBC on oral carcinomas indicated an affectability of 95.1% and an explicitness of 99.0%[19].

Kujan et al demonstrated that immunocytochemical staining of oral cells dependent on LBC slides is likewise plausible. All the stained slides in their examination were steady and introduced great immunoreactivity for fragile histidine trialgene (FHIT). The human FHIT quality is a tumor suppressor gene and was recognized at 3p14.2. Similarly, human papillomavirus (HPV) location in oral LBC samples was additionally discovered to be solid[20].

Keratin layer, regularly very thick, keeps malignant cells from arriving at the superficial layer delivering conventional oral cytology insufficient for distinguishing dysplasia and assessing suspicious white oral lesions. Hence, a conventional oral cytology specimen tests just the superficial layer and not the whole thickness of the epithelium, which is needed to excludethe presence of oral dysplasia or carcinoma, and the specimen will be inadequate regardless of how it is readied, conventional or LBC. Besides, LBC was intended for superficial cytology samples and not biopsies and prompts the degeneration of epithelial parts and the threedimensional presentation collected by a brush biopsy (microbiopsies), which may forestall appropriate microscopic assessment of the biopsy[21]. With these impediments, it is likely that LBC for diagnosing oral lesion is of negligible esteem.

AUTOMATED ANALYTICAL METHODS:

Cytomorphometry. OralCDx (OraCDx Laboratories, Suffern, NY) is a computer supported method for the investigation of cell samples gathered by utilizing a protected brush. This strategy was intended to assess any oral epithelial anomaly without a conspicuous etiology for dysplasia or cancer[22].The improvement over traditional cytology is because of the brush, which

gathers a total transepithelial sample, including the basal layer, and the investigation of that sample with computer support. The computer investigates the examined computerized microscopic picture of the gathered cells utilizing a particular neural network-based picture preparing framework explicitly intended to distinguish oral precancerous and malignant cells. Measures of atypia incorporate cell keratinization and morphological deviations. Speight investigated the different models for grading of to malignancy[23]. The diagnostic outcomes and agent samples are introduced to a cytopathologist who makes the final diagnosis and proposes follow-up to the clinical specialist for example, clinical close perception, redo brush biopsy, scalpel biopsy, and so forth.

HPV AND ORAL CYTOLOGY :

The part of HPV infection in the etiopathogenesis of oral potentially malignant and malignant lesions has been widely concentrated as of recent times. HPV (commonly HPV16) is presently perceived to assume a function in the pathogenesis of a subset of oral cavity carcinomas, especially those that emerge from the lingual and palatine tonsils inside the oropharynx just as the base of the tongue[24]. The location of HPV in the oral mucosa may likewise be cultivated by cytology, and the cytologic discoveries are described by koilocytosis, perinuclear cytoplasmic haloes, nuclear dysplasia, atypical juvenile metaplasia, and binucleation. Adjunctive procedures of distinguishing HPV that have been utilized remembers for situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry[25].

DNA ANALYSIS:

The ploidy status of oral cells is imperative to anticipate the lesions that may go through malignant change. Subsequent to staining with Feulgen dye, the cytological examples are thought about with a reference group of 300 ordinary epithelial cells of the oral mucosa. DNA picture cytometry measures DNA ploidy to decide the malignant potential of cells. A computer supported examination has been created to recognize deviations of cell DNA content. Utilizing this strategy, an expansion in sensitivity and specificity of oral cytology to 100% has been reported[26]. Data on exactness in high-hazard oral neoplasia are, be that as it may, restricted. Moreover, in light of the fact that Oral Advance uses a delicate cytobrush, it is improbable to collect basal cells.

Semi-automated multimodal cell analysis (MMCA) is other analysing technique for the early diagnosis of malignancy for cases with a minimal number of doubtful cells[27]. Application of Feulgen staining, DNA image cytometry, and finally silver-nitrate staining for argyrophilic nucleolar organizing regions (AgNORs) analysis were used in conjugation to identify early malignant transformation.

SPECTRAL CYTOPATHOLOGY:

Spectral cytopathology (SCP) is a novel methodology for demonstrative separation of disease in individual peeled cells. SCP is done by gathering data on every cell's biochemical arrangement by means of an infrared microspectral estimation, trailed by multivariate information examination. Deviations from a cell's characteristic content produce specific spectral patterns that are restrictive to the reason for the deviation or sickness. These exceptional spectral patterns are reproducible and can be distinguished and utilized through multivariate measurable strategies to identify cells compromised at the molecular level by dysplasia, neoplasia, or viral infection. In an ongoing confirmation of-idea study, a benchmark for the affectability of SCP was set up by characterizing solid oral squamous cells as indicated by their anatomical inception in the oral cavity[28]. SCP depends on computerized instrumentation and unaided programming, and it is asserted to establish a diagnostic workup of clinical samples destitute of inclination and irregularity.

NANOCHIP-BASED SYSTEMS:

Weigum et al utilized a solitary nano-bio-chip stage for molecular and morphologic investigation in oral exfoliative cytology to upgrade the role and usage of oral cytology in clinical diagnostics. The coordination of the nano-bio-chip sensor framework for simultaneous and quantitative investigation of cellular biomarkers and cytomorphology has been studied in 41 patients and 11 controls for multifunctional cytoanalysis. They discovered six findings to be fundamentally adjusted in OSCC cytospecimens versus normal mucosa, including (a) nuclear area, (b) nuclear diameter, (c) cellular area, (d) cellular diameter, (e) nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratio, and (f) EGFR biomarker immunolabeling. These parameters were additionally discovered to be altogether modified in oral lesions with diagnosed dysplasia, supporting the

utilization of these markers as diagnostic marker of early malignant growth improvement and premalignancy[29].

SALIVARY DIAGNOSTICS:

Oral epithelial cells regularly shed and can be recognized in salivation and oral washes, making cytologic and molecular examination of this liquid appealing for oral cancer screening. The level of apoptotic cells has been estimated in cytological investigations of the salivation of patients treated for OSCC and could be helpful for observing responses to chemotherapy[88]. Several little investigations have demonstrated contrasts in DNA and RNA expression patterns and microsatellite motifs between groups of oral malignancy patients and ordinary control subjects[30].

Conclusion

Traditional cytology has very low sensitivity that can be enhanced. The presentation of cutting edge cytologic strategies, including brush biopsy, computerassisted examination of brush biopsy tests, just as molecular and immunohistochemical procedures have made cytology an important tool in assessing oral lesions. Brush biopsy is especially valuable to assess any oral lesion without an undeniable etiology and prevents pathologist to misdiagnose a malignant lesion as normal mucosa. Besides, brush biopsy is particularly helpful when a lesion is enormous, when various lesions are present, or when patients deny surgical blade biopsy. This is a moderately economical, straightforward, noninvasive, hazard free procedure, demonstrated to be exceptionally exact and all around acknowledged by patients. All things considered, its inescapable use may bring about recognizing precancers and early cancer at stages when they can be most effectively treated.

Ethical Clearance – Not required since it is a review article

Source of Funding – nil

Conflict of Interest – nil

References

1. Shah JP, Johnson NW, Batsakis JG. Oral cancer. United Kingdom: Martin Dunitz; 2003.
2. American Cancer Society. Cancer facts & figures 2010. 2010. Available at: http://www.cancer.org/acs/groups/content/@nho/documents/ document/ acspc-024113.pdf. Accessed July 22, 2010.
3. Thomson PJ, Hamadah O. Cancerisation within the oral cavity: The use of 'field mapping biopsies' in clinical management. Oral Oncol 2007;43:20–26.
4. Johnson NW. Orofacial neoplasms: Global epidemiology, risk factors and recommendations for research. Int Dent J 1991;41:365– 375.
5. Pindborg JJ, Reichart PA, Smith CJ, Van Der Waal I. Histological typing of cancer and precancer of the oral mucosa.World Health Organisation. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1997. p 21–314.
6. Sandler HC. Veterans Administration cooperative study of oral exfoliative cytology. Acta Cytol 1963;7:180–182.
7. Murata PJ, Johnson RA, McNicoll KE. Controlled evaluation of implementing the cytobrush technique to improve Papanicolaou smear quality. ObstetGynecol 1990;75:690–695.
8. Boon ME, Alons-van Kordelaar JJM, Rietveld-Scheffers PEM. Consequences of the introduction of combined spatula and cytobrush sampling for cervical cytology—Improvements in smear quality and detection rates. Acta Cytol 1986;30:264–270.
9. Burzlaff JB, Bohrer PL, Paiva RL, et al. Exposure to alcohol or tobacco affects the pattern of maturation in oral mucosal cells: A cytohistological study. Cytopathology 2007;18:367–375.
10. Navone R, Pentenero M, Rostan I, et al. Oral potentially malignant lesions: First-level micro-histological diagnosis from tissue fragments sampled in liquid-based diagnostic cytology. J Oral Pathol 2008;37:358–363.
11. Mehrotra R, Gupta A, Singh M, Ibrahim R. Application of cytology and molecular biology in diagnosing premalignant or malignant oral lesions. Mol Cancer 2006;5:1–11.
12. Mehrotra R, Hullmann M, Smeets R, Reichert TE, Driemel O. Oral cytology revisited. J Oral Pathol Med 2009;38:161–166.
13. Ogden GR, Cowpe JG, Green M. Cytobrush and wooden spatula for oral exfoliative cytology. A comparison. Acta Cytol 1992;36:706– 710.
14. Walling DM, Flaitz CM, Adler-Storthz K, Nichols CM. A non-invasive technique for studying oral epithelial Epstein-Barr virus infection and disease. Oral Oncol 2003;39:436–444.

15. Sciubba JJ. Improving detection of precancerous and cancerous oral lesions. Computer-assisted analysis of the oral brush biopsy. US Collaborative OralCDx Study Group. J Am Dent Assoc 1999;130: 1445–1457.

16. Gupta A, Singh M, Ibrahim R, Mehrotra R. Utility of toluidine blue staining and brush biopsy in precancerous and cancerous oral lesions. Acta Cytol 2007;51:788–794.

17. Remmerbach TW, Weidenbach H, Muller C, et al. Diagnostic value of nucleolar organizer regions (AgNORs) in brush biopsies of suspicious lesions of the oral cavity. Anal Cell Pathol 2003;25: 139–146.

18. Hayama FH, Motta ACF, de Padua AGS, Migliari DA. Liquidbased preparations versus conventional cytology: Specimen adequacy and diagnostic agreement in oral lesions. Med Oral Pathol Oral Cir Bucal 2005;10:115–122.

19. Navone R, Burlo P, Pich A, et al. The impact of liquid-based oral cytology on the diagnosis of oral squamous dysplasia and carcinoma. Cytopathology 2007;18:356–360.

20. Kujan O, Desai M, Sargent A, Bailey A, Turner A, Sloan P. Potential applications of oral brush cytology with liquid-based technology: Results from a cohort of normal oral mucosa. Oral Oncology 2006;42:810–818.

21. Hullmann M, Reichert TE, Dahse R, et al. OraleZytologie: HistorischeEntwicklung, aktueller Stand und Ausblick. Mund Kiefer Gesichtschir 2007;11:1–9.

22. Bhoopathi V, Kabani S, Mascarenhas AK. Low positive predictive value of the oral brush biopsy in detecting dysplastic oral lesions. Cancer 2009;115:1036–1040.

23. Speight PM. Update on oral epithelial dysplasia and progression to cancer. Head Neck Pathol 2007;1:61–66.

24. McKaig RG, Baric RS, Olshan AF. Human papillomavirus and head and neck cancer: Epidemiology and molecular biology. Head Neck 1998;20:250–265.

25. Pai RK, Erickson J, Pourmand N, Kong CS. p16(INK4A) immunohistochemical staining may be helpful in distinguishing branchial cleft cysts from cystic squamous cell carcinomas originating in the oropharynx. Cancer Cytopathol 2009;117:108–119.

26. Maraki D, Becker J, Bo¨cking A. Cytologic and DNA cytometry very early diagnosis of oral cancer. J Oral Pathol Med 2004;33: 398–404.

27. Remmerbach TW, Meyer-Ebrecht D, Aach T, et al. Toward a multimodal cell analysis of brush biopsies for the early detection of oral cancer. Cancer Cytopathol 2009;117:228–235.

28. Papamarkakis K, Bird B, Schubert JM, et al. Cytopathology by optical methods: Spectral cytopathology of the oral mucosa. Lab Invest 2010;90:589–598.

29. Weigum SE, Floriano PN, Redding SW, et al. Nano-Bio-Chip sensor platform for examination of oral exfoliative cytology. Cancer Prev Res 2010;3:518–528.

30. SeoaneLesto´n J, Diz Dios P. Diagnostic clinical aids in oral cancer. Oral Oncol 2010;46:418–422.