

The Prevalence of Pathogenic Bacteria from Different Clinical Samples, Northern Part of Iraq

Allaa M. Ahmed

MSc. Microbiology, Hawler Medical University/ Medical Microbiology Department

Abstract

Background : Bacteria are prokaryotic which causes a wide range of diseases in human and animals as well, thus, early diagnosis of bacterial infection is an important step for their management. The vast majority of bacteria are harmless to human and some strains are even beneficial. However, some strains cause infections including pneumonia, meningitis and urinary tract infection...etc. The present study aimed to describe and determine the prevalence rate of pathogenic bacteria in different clinical samples from Duhok city, Kurdistan region of Iraq, as there are no available data of bacterial infections.

Methods: Totally, 200 adult patients enrolled in the present study during July 2017-July 2018. We collected different clinical specimens including (SFA, Bronchial Lavage, catheter, cerebrospinal fluid, body fluids, blood, semen, stool pus, urine, sputum and ear swabs). Standard microbiological techniques used for isolation and identification. All samples collected before antibiotic uses by specialized physician.

Results: The result reported that forty-six samples (23%) obtained from male and one hundred fifty-four samples (77%) obtained from females. A high diversity of pathogens was found but *Staphylococcus aureus* recorded the highest infection rate by (33.3%) which observed in 32 urine samples by and followed by *E. coli* (27.3%) and *Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci* (25.3%), which observed in 36 and 29 urine samples, respectively. Alternatively, *Klebsiella*, *Streptococcus*, *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were founded in few samples.

Conclusion: The highest diversity of potential pathogens observed in urine samples was *E.coli*. Over all, *Staphylococcus aureus* was predominant and recorded the highest prevalence rate by (33.3%) in 50 samples which followed by *E. coli* recorded in 41 samples (27.3%) and *Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci* observed in 38 samples (25.3%).

Introduction

The isolation of microorganism is an important step in diagnosis and analyses of microbial virulence¹. Additionally, isolation and culturing enable the investigation of antibiotic sensitivity for selecting the best effective treatment^{2,3}, genome sequencing^{4,5} and disease diagnosis⁶. Robert Koch, proposed that a pure culture is the foundation of all research in case of infectious diseases⁷⁻⁹.

Recently, many researches attempt in determining the common pathogenic bacteria and normal flora which cause diseases in human. *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* and *Streptococcus* sp. have been

widely studied due to their drug resistance and diverse pathogenic features¹⁰ and¹².

The present study aimed to determine and list the bacterial prevalence in different human samples from Duhok city - Kurdiastan region of Iraq, for this purpose statistical analysis performed for easy data analysis.

Methods

Sample collection

Totally, 200 adult patients enrolled in the present study during July 2017- July 2018. Different clinical specimens collected depend on patient symptoms including SFA, Bronchial Lavage, catheter,

(cerebrospinal fluid) CSF, body fluids, blood, semen, stool pus, urine, sputum and swabs from ear, throat and eyes. All samples collected before antibiotic uses by specialized physician.

Isolation and Identification

Diagnosis of bacterial isolated conducted with the aid of standard microbiological techniques and collected data were analyzed using SPSS stastical software (SPSS 25.0, 2017), for easy data expression, p value was P<0.05. The swabs were streaked onto nutrient agar, blood agar, MacConkey agar, Mannitol salt agar and Chocolate agar plates in two replications for minimizing the rate of contamination. The inoculated plates were incubated separately in two group of aerobic and anaerobic conditions for 20-24 hours at 37 °C (13). Bacterial isolates defined by observing colony morphology, pattern and size. However, Gram staining techniques used for subgrouping the colonies into Gram negative and Gram positive groups. Additionally, the process of identification further confirmed by biochemical studies which included IMViC test, oxidase test, catalase test, coagulase test and urease test.

Results

During 2017-2018, a total of 200 samples obtained from suspected patients from Dhuok city for bacterial infections. All samples were isolated and identification conducted with standard microbiological techniques. The result reported that forty-six samples (23%) obtained from male and one hundred fifty-four samples (77%) obtained from females, also result declared that bacterial growth were positive for 106 samples (53%) while no

bacterial growth observed from the reset 94 samples as shown in Table 1.

The frequency of collected samples listed according to the type of specimens as shown in Table 2. The most collected samples were urine in which 144 urine samples collected and followed by vagina, pus, sputum, blood and swabs samples by 14, 11, 9, 7 and 6 respectively. However, collected samples were at low percentage for CSF, SFA, wound, fluid, catheter and bronchial lavage .

The highest frequency rate of bacterial isolate recorded in 79 urine samples (39.5%) and followed by 6 vagina samples and 5 sputum samples, by (3%) and (2.5%), respectively. Notably, few bacterial growths observed in samples such as blood and CSF by 1.5% and 1%, respectively. However, bacterial growth observed only in one sample of (swab, SFA, catheter, fluid, wound and bronchial lavage) by 0.5 %, as shown in Table 3.

The prevalence rates of bacterial isolates have been listed according to the type of specimens as shown in Table 4. The result determined that *Staphlococcus aureus* recorded the highest infection rate by (33.3%) in 50 samples and observed in 32 urine samples. *E. coli* recorded second highest prevalence rate by (27.3%) which observed in 36 urine samples and followed by *Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci* which recorded in by (25.3%) and observed in 29 urine samples.

Alternatively, *Klebsiella*, *Streptococcus*, *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were founded in 9,8,3 and 1 samples, respectively. The percentage of bacterial infection in the present study has been shown in Figure 1.

Table (1): Distribution of bacterial infection according to the sex of suspected patients.

Number of	Samples	Infections	No Growth
Male	46 (23%)	32 (16%)	14 (7%)
Female	154 (77%)	74 (37%)	80 (40%)
Total	200 (100%)	106 (53%)	94 (47%)

Table (2): Frequency and percentage of collected samples according to the type of specimens

Source of infection	Number (%)	Source of infection	Number (%)
Urine	144 (72%)	CSF	3 (1.5%)
Vagina	14 (7%)	SFA	2 (1%)
Pus	11 (5.5%)	Wound	1 (0.5%)
Sputum	9 (4.5%)	Fluid	1 (0.5%)
Blood	7 (3.5%)	Catheter	1 (0.5%)
Swabs	6 (3%)	Bronchial Lavage	1 (0.5%)
Total	200 (100%)		

Table (3): Frequency rate of bacterial growth according to the type of samples.

Source of infection	Infected with Bacteria	No Growth	Source of infection	Infected with Bacteria	No Growth
Urine	79 (39.5%)	65 (32.5%)	CSF	2 (1%)	1 (0.5%)
Vagina	6 (3%)	8 (4%)	SFA	1 (0.5%)	1 (0.5%)
Sputum	5 (2.5%)	4 (2%)	Catheter	1 (0.5%)	0
Pus	4 (2%)	7 (3.5%)	Fluid	1 (0.5%)	0
Blood	3 (1.5%)	4 (2%)	Wound	1 (0.5%)	0
Swab	1 (0.5%)	5 (2.5%)	Bronchial Lavage	1 (0.5%)	0
Total infected and non-infected samples 200 (100%)					

Table (4): Prevalence rate of different bacterial isolates from different samples

Source of infection	Staphylococcus aureus	E. coli	Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci	Klebsiella	Streptococcus	Enterobacteriaceae	Pseudomonas
Urine	32	36	29	8	4	3	0
Vagina	2	2	3	1	1	0	0
Pus	2	1	2	0	1	0	1
Sputum	3	1	1	0	2	0	0

Swab	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
SFA	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Bronchia lavage	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Catheter	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSF	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
Blood	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
Fluid	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wound	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	50 (33.3%)		38 (25.3%)	9 (6%)	8 (5.3%)	3 (2%)	1 (0.7%)




Figure (1): Percentage of bacterial isolates and infection proportion

Discussion

According to the present study, 106 collected samples showed positive bacterial growth out of 200 samples in which 74 positive samples were collected from female and only 32 positive samples were from male. This finding is in line with previous finding which reported that male was more resistance to gastrointestinal and respiratory tract bacterial infections, while female was more prone to bacterial infections in the genitourinary tract same investigation also declared that such differences for bacterial susceptibility between male and female associated to the sex hormones (13).

From the present study, highest frequency rate of bacteria isolated from urine samples by (39.5%) and followed by vagina and sputum by (3%) and (2.5%), respectively. This result totally agrees with previous finding by, Flores-Mireles and et al. (2015), who considered urinary tract infections (UTIs) as the most common bacterial infections at all ages which affecting around 150 million people worldwide per each year and causes morbidity as well (14). Additionally, vaginal infection considered as the second most common bacterial infection in women which called bacterial vaginosis (BV) and characterized by pyogenic infection (15) and (16). Pus producing infection called pyogenic, Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus pyogenes are

the best known microorganisms which cause pus due to the secretion of toxins which damage neutrophil and surrounding tissue (17). *Pseudomonas*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Burkholderia cepacia* complex identified as the most frequently isolated bacteria from the sputum of patients with chronic chest lesions or pulmonary disease (18), this finding is agree with our finding in which the number of infections were 9 samples. Only 3 blood samples showed positive bacterial growth, the presence of bacteria in the blood is known as bacteremia which has several significant health consequences. (18), bacteria may also spread through the blood to other parts of the body and causes infection away from the original infection site. (19), (20),(21) and (22). Low number of bacterial growth recorded in ear swabs, CSF, SFA, catheter, fluid, wound and bronchial lavage samples. These results agree with many previous published studies which recorded that approximately 65-330 million people worldwide suffer from ear infection and 60% have serious hearing impairment (23, 24), the sperm cell structure and the whole spermatogenic cycle can be affected by acute and chronic infections system (25, 26, 27, 28). Bacteria can cause wound infection in case of skin defective functions (29, 30), however, wound infection could be a type of nosocomial infection (31).

Staphylococcus aureus, a Gram-positive bacterium which causes a wide range of infectious diseases, including skin infections, bacteraemia, endocarditis, pneumonia and food poisoning (32), the result determined that *Staphylococcus aureus* recorded the highest infection rate by (33.3%). *E. coli*, a normal flora in the intestinal lumen of human which maintaining normal intestinal homeostasis (16, 33). Some strains of *E. coli* varieties may diverge and causes diseases, such strains acquire unique virulence factors by which causes infections (34). *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, accounts as a significant proportion of hospital-acquired infections of the urinary tract, pneumonia, septicemia, and soft tissue (35). In the present study *Klebsiella pneumoniae* represented in 9 patient samples (6%), while *Streptococcus* spp. was represented in 8 patient specimens (5.3%). Enterobacteriaceae only observed in urine samples, this result in agreement with previous finding in which concluded that members of Enterobacteriaceae causes urinary tract infections (UTI) and diarrhea (35). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, a Gram-negative bacillus which causes hospital acquired infections more commonly in patients with ventilation, burn patients, and chronic debility patients (36), the growth of this

bacteria only reported in one sample.

Conclusion

Staphylococcus aureus recorded the highest prevalence rate by (33.3%) and observed in all samples except swabs. While *E. coli* recorded in 41 samples (27.3%) and followed by Coagulase-Negative *Staphylococci* which observed in 38 samples (25.3%). Additionally, highest bacterial growth reported in urine samples, this finding needs further investigation like antibiotic sensitivity profile study for selecting effective treatment.

Financial Disclosure: There is no financial disclosure.

Conflict of Interest: None to declare.

Ethical Clearance: All experimental protocols were approved under the Hawler Medical University and all experiments were carried out in accordance with approved guidelines.

References

1. Marshall BJ, Armstrong JA, McGeachie DB and Glancy, RJ. Attempt to fulfil Koch's postulates for pyloric *Campylobacter*. *Med J Aust.* 1985; 142:436-439.
2. Boulos A, Rolain JM, Mallet MN, Raoult D. Molecular evaluation of antibiotic susceptibility of *Tropheryma whippelii* in axenic medium. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2005; 55:178-181. doi:10.1093/jac/dkh524.
3. Boulos A, Rolain JM, Raoult D. Antibiotic susceptibility of *Tropheryma whippelii* in MRC5 cells. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2004; 48:747-752. doi:10.1128/AAC.48.3.747-752.2004.
4. Fournier PE, Drancourt M, Raoult D. Bacterial genome sequencing and its use in infectious diseases. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2007; 7:711-723. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(07)70260-8.
5. Raoult D, Ogata H, Audic S, Robert C, Suhre K, Drancourt M and Claverie JM. *Tropheryma whippelii* Twist: a human pathogenic Actinobacteria with a reduced genome. *Genome Res.* 2003; 13:1800-1809.
6. Simranjit K, and Prashant Ch. Isolation and Characterisation of Pathogens from Various Clinical Samples: A Step towards Prevention of

- Infectious Diseases. JPSBR. 2015; Volume 5. Issue 4: (404-409).
7. Lagier J-Ch, Edouard S, Pagnier I, Mediannikov O, Drancourt M and Raoult D. Current and Past Strategies for Bacterial Culture in Clinical Microbiology. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 2015; Volume 28. Number 1.
 8. Brock T, Robert Koch: a life in medicine and bacteriology. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC. 1999.
 9. Koch R. Die Ätiologie der Tuberkulose. *Berl Klin Wochenschr*. 1882; 15:221–230.
 10. Begum R, Towhid ST, Moniruzzaman M, Mia Z and Islam MA. Study of Staphylococcus aureus from Clinical Samples in Savar, Bangladesh. *Research Journal of Microbiology*. 2011; Volume 6 (12): 884-890.
 11. Brooks GF, Butel JS, Morse, SA. and Jawetz, E. Melnick and Adelberg's. *Medical Microbiology*. 2001; 22nd Edn., Appleton and Lange, New York, USA., ISBN-13: 9780838562987, Pages: 694.
 12. Trepeta RW, and Edberg SC. Methylumbelliferyl-D-Glucuronide-Based Medium for Rapid Isolation and Identification of Escherichia coli. *JOURNAL OF CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY*. 1984; Vol. 19, No. 2. p. 172-174.
 13. Vázquez-Martínez ER, García-Gómez E. and Camacho-Arroyo I. Sexual dimorphism in bacterial infections. *Biol Sex Differ*. 2018; Vol. 9: Pages: 27.
 14. Flores-Mireles AL, Walker JN, Caparon M and Hultgren SJ. Urinary tract infections: epidemiology, mechanisms of infection and treatment options. 2016; Vol; 13(5): Pages: 269–284.
 15. Streptococcus pneumoniae - Pneumococcal Disease. 2019. Arupconsult. <https://arupconsult.com/content/streptococcus-pneumoniae>.
 16. Alexander FM. Wound Infection: Nursing Practice Hospital and Home, the Adult. New York: Churchill Livingstone; 1994.
 17. Schulman J S. Everything You Need to Know About Pus. <https://www.healthline.com/health/pus>. 2018.
 18. Vázquez-Martínez ER, García-Gómez E, Camacho-Arroyo I and González-Pedrajo B. Sexual dimorphism in bacterial infections. *Biol Sex Differ*. 2018; 9: 27.
 19. Brazier Y. What is bacterial vaginosis?. *Medical News Today*. 2017. University of Illinois-Chicago, School of Medicine.
 20. Roy S and Dhar D. Isolation, Characterization and Antibiotic Sensitivity Pattern of Different Bacteria in Pus Sample. 2018. *Journal Metrics*.
 21. Moniema AN and Amin NM. Bacteria in sputum of patients with chronic chest lesions in chest department of Beni-Suef University Hospital. *Egyptian Journal of Chest Diseases and Tuberculosis*. 2016. Volume 65, Issue 4, Pages 841-8.
 22. Shu-Ling F, Miller, Nancy S, Lee, John, Remick, Daniel G. (2016-09-01). "Diagnosing sepsis - The role of laboratory medicine". *Clinica Chimica Acta; International Journal of Clinical Chemistry*. 460: 203–210. doi:10.1016/j.cca.2016.07.002. ISSN 1873-3492. PMC 4980259. PMID 27387712.
 23. Sule A, Thanin L, Sule Odu O, Olusonya O. Bacterial pathogens associated with infected wounds in Oguo State University teaching Hospital, Sagon, Nigeria. *Afr J Clin Exp Microbiol*. 2002;3:13–8.
 24. Fatemaa, K, Mondolb K, Akterc T and Dattad S. Detection of Pus Sample of Various Pyogenic Infections from Diabetic and Non Diabetic Patient and Compare their Socioeconomic Status in Bangladesh. *American Scientific Research Journal for Engineering, Technology, and Sciences (ASRJETS)*. 2016; Volume 26, No 1, pp 159-165.
 25. Moretti E, Federico M G, Giannerini V, Capitani S, Collodel G, Pammolli A. and Figura N. The presence of bacteria species in semen and sperm quality. *J Assist Reprod Genet*. 2009 Jan; 26(1): 47–56.
 26. Henkel R. and Schill WB. Sperm separation in patients with urogenital infections. *Andrologia* 1998; 30:91–7.
 27. Urata K, Narahara H, Tanaka Y. Egashira T, Takayama F. and Miyakawa I. Effect of endotoxin-induced reactive oxygen species on sperm motility. *Fertil Steril*. 2001; 76:163–6. doi:10.1016/S0015-0282(01)01850-7.
 28. Sanocka-Maciejewska D, Ciupińska M. and Kurpisz, M. Bacterial infection and semen quality. *J Reprod Immunol*. 2005; 67:51–6. doi:10.1016/j.jri.2005.06.003.
 29. Kaper JB, Nataro JP and Mobley HL. Pathogenic Escherichia coli.. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2004; 2(2):123-40.

30. Perez-Chaparro PJ, Meuric V, De Mello G, Bonnaure-Mallet M. “[Bacteremia of oral origin]”. *Revue de Stomatologie et de Chirurgie Maxillo-Faciale*. 2011; 112 (5): 300–303. doi:10.1016/j.stomax.2011.08.012. ISSN 1776-257X. PMID 21940028.
31. Dionigi R, Rovera F, Dionigi G, Imperatori A, Ferrari A, Dionigi P. and Dominioni L. Risk factors in surgery. *J Chemother* 2001; Spec No 1(1): 6–11
32. Bowler P, Duerden I. and Armstrong D. Wound microbiology and associated approaches to wound management. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2001; 14(2): 244–269
33. Dionigi R, Rovera F, Dionigi G, Imperatori A, Ferrari A, Dionigi P, et al. Risk factors in surgery. *J Chemother*. 2001;13:6–11.
34. Helen S, Lee, Pharm, D. BCPS-AQ ID. and Jennifer, Le. *Urinary Tract Infections*. Infectious Diseases. 2015.
35. Kaper JB, Nataro JP and Mobley HL. Pathogenic *Escherichia coli*.. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2004; 2(2):123-40.
36. Rachel and Murrell D. Coagulase-Negative Staph Infection. <https://www.healthline.com/health/coagulase-negative-staph>.