

Bio Terrorism and Future - A Review

S.Barani Shankar¹, R.V.Geetha², Jayalakshmi Somasundaram³,

¹Research Associate, ²Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology, ³Senior Lecturer, Department of Pharmacology,

Saveetha Dental College, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract

Biological warfare or biowarfare is the use of biological toxins or infectious agents such as bacteria, viruses, and fungi with the intent to kill or incapacitate humans as an act of war. It is a criminal act against unsuspecting civilians using pathogenic biological agents. Bioterror and Biological Warfare agents are most often colorless, by-and-large odorless microorganisms (bacteria, viruses, fungi) or toxins (usually protein toxins) derived from microorganisms that can be spread in air as aerosols or in food or drink to infect as many people as possible. They are easily concealed, and thus difficult to detect before an attack. Their main advantages to terrorists are allowing easy escape and causing panic and chaos within a civilian population. Their aim is to overwhelm emergency medical departments at local hospitals and clinics. However, there are ways to help protect yourself against bioterror agents and by extrapolating biological warfare agents and to help identify an attack when it occurs.

Keywords: *bioterrorism, Biological Warfare, combat bioterrorism, impact, biothreat organisms.*

Introduction

Bioterrorism, bioweapons, or biowarfare is a term used to portray the purposeful or intentional use, spread/dischARGE, scattering or creation of living life forms, poisons, and synthetic concoctions of creature or plant inception to deliver such ailments that can mischief or cause passing of people, creatures, plants, and even the general environment¹. The psychological militant can spread it through air, water, soil, and food, and they can take scarcely any hours to a few days to cause the impact. More up to date pathogens are developing step by step which lose a significant danger in rewarding

hospitalized patients. It is an expansive subject that incorporates different scope of living beings and compound poisons which are utilized to spread fear, peril, hazard, and threat². This can be performed by an individual having personal stakes or for counter, however it can likewise be supported by some legislature as a major aspect of a political agenda³. In prior days, Bacillus anthracis and little pox infections were utilized as a wellspring of bioterrorism in the West and even as of late, Bacillus anthracis is a normally utilized operator for bioterrorism⁴. This type of psychological oppression is the least demanding on the grounds that the specialists are accessible effectively, don't cause sound or impact, can cause hurt across the outskirts, and are far reaching for the most part as it can clear out the whole populace⁵. There are three general classifications which indicate the term bioterrorism The classes depend on the danger running from most noteworthy to direct and in the long run to gradual⁶. Bioterrorism is genuine, clear, and steady in the cutting edge time. Thusly reinforcing of commonplace Environmental Protection Agencies (EPA), usage of self-observing projects by the

Corresponding author:

R.V. Geetha,

Associate Professor, Department of microbiology,
Saveetha dental college, Saveetha Institute of medical
and technical Sciences, Saveetha University,
Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

Mail id :geetha@saveetha.com

Mobile no : 9710456203

enterprises, and enactments, for example, the “polluter pays” standard can assist with securing our natural resources⁷. Research is the foundation of arrangements. Accordingly, the private party ought to be urged to put resources into investigating this field⁸.

A bioterrorist assault might be hard to recognize from a normally happening infection⁹ sickness flare-up. Agents should initially look at the etiology and the study of disease transmission of an episode to distinguish its source, method of transmission, and people in danger. Certain intimations may show whether a flare-up is the consequence of deliberate arrival of microorganisms. Normally happening ailments are endemic to specific zones and include conventional patterns of transmission; a few infections happen occasionally, and sentinel cases are not uncommon¹⁰. Conversely, an ailment flare-up because of bioterrorism could happen in an endemic-illness region, whenever of year, all of a sudden, and relying upon the etiologic operator and method of transmission, in enormous numbers—a huge number of cases may happen unexpectedly¹¹. Public wellbeing authorities must be suitably sharpened to the chance of bioterrorism when examining sickness flare-ups.

Bioterrorism

Bioterrorism includes the deliberate discharge or scattering of organic agents¹². These specialists are microscopic organisms, infections, creepy crawlies, parasites, or poisons, and might be in a normally happening or a human-changed structure, similarly in natural fighting. Further, present day agribusiness is defenseless against hostile to farming assaults by fear based oppressors, and such assaults can genuinely harm economy just as buyer confidence¹³. The later damaging movement is called agrobioterrorism and is subtype of agro-terrorism. Bioterrorism is the purposeful arrival of infections, microscopic organisms, poisons or other hurtful operators to cause ailment or demise in individuals, animals, or plants¹⁴. These specialists are normally found in nature, however could be transformed or changed to expand their capacity to cause malady, make them impervious to ebb and flow drugs, or to build their capacity to be spread into the environment¹⁵. Biological operators can be spread through the air, water, or in food. Organic specialists are alluring to fear mongers since they are amazingly hard to distinguish

and don't make disease for a few hours a few days. Some bioterrorism operators, similar to the smallpox infection, can be spread from individual to individual and a few, similar to *Bacillus anthracis*, cannot¹⁶. Hepatitis B is an irresistible malady brought about by hepatitis B infection which influences the liver. It can be transmitted through a few different ways through immediate or aberrant contact with blood, oral liquid, mist concentrates and so forth

Bioterrorism might be supported in light of the fact that organic specialists are moderately simple and reasonable to get, can be handily spread, and can cause across the board dread and frenzy past the real physical damage¹⁷. Military pioneers, in any case, have discovered that, as a military resource, bioterrorism has some significant impediments; it is hard to utilize a bioweapon such that lone influences the foe and not well disposed forces¹⁸. A natural weapon is helpful to psychological oppressors primarily as a technique for making mass frenzy and disturbance to a state or a country¹⁹.

History

Contagious diseases and other biological weapons were recognized for their potential impact on armies or people as early as the 14th century BC. The Hittites might have produced the first documented example of BW by sending diseased rams (possibly infected with tularaemia) to their enemies to weaken them²⁰. In the fourth century BC, the Greek historian Herodotus relates that Scythian archers used to infect their arrows by dipping them in a mixture of decomposing cadavers of adders and human blood. According to our modern interpretation, this mixture might have contained *Clostridium perfringens* and *Clostridium tetani*, as well as the snakes' venom²¹. In the third century BC, the military commander Hannibal of Cartagena set fire to the enemy's fleet (belonging to King Eumenes II of Pergamon) with pots full of venomous snakes. Similar examples are reported by historians or, for cases closer to our epoch, by anthropologists of the use of arrows or other vessels infected with different products extracted from animal parts or plants in order to attack the human enemy²². Similarly, the use of arrows for the transmission of plague is suggested by some allegorical documents, such as the drawing painted in 1437 by an anonymous artist on a wood cover used by the

government of Siena to protect official documents (Fig. 1). In the Middle Ages, a famous although controversial example is offered by the siege of Caffa (now Feodosia in Ukraine/Crimea), a Genovese outpost on the Black Sea coast, by the Mongols. In 1346, the attacking army experienced an epidemic of bubonic plague. The Italian chronicler Gabriele de' Mussi, in his *Istoria de Morbo sive Mortalitate quae fuit Anno Domini 1348*, describes quite plausibly how the plague was transmitted by the Mongols by throwing diseased cadavers with catapults into the besieged city, and how ships transporting Genovese soldiers, fleas and rats fleeing from there brought it to the Mediterranean ports²³ Given the highly complex epidemiology of plague, this interpretation of the Black Death (which might have killed >25 million people in the following years throughout Europe) as stemming from a specific and localized origin of the Black Death remains controversial. Similarly, it remains doubtful whether the effect of throwing infected cadavers could have been the sole cause of the outburst of an epidemic in the besieged city. However, this episode of the use of cadavers in order to infect a population remains a landmark in the history of BW²⁴. Similar examples of the use of the technique of catapulting infected cadavers can be found throughout the modern period, from the siege of the Bohemian city of Carolstein by Lithuanian troops in 1422 to the siege of the Swedish army in Reval (Estonia) in 1710 by the Russians

Laboratory detection and surveillance for bioterrorism:

Globally, there are laboratories established to address bioterrorism. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), there should be a laboratory response network which should include clinical microbiology laboratories that are responsible for identifying, detecting, and reporting bioterrorism²⁵. In addition, they must suggest the measures that can be adopted to remedy the effects. Development of resistance in pathogens to antimicrobials is threatening mankind. Intrinsic and mechanisms act together to make the pathogen more and more potent against most of the available therapeutic drugs. The laboratory response network can be grouped category wise, dealing with the issue, like a category A laboratory can be a primary laboratory that can help detect such agents which can likely cause bioterrorism effects and so they should

be equipped to conduct primary analysis.²⁶ The B category laboratories can help to isolate and identify the exact agent responsible for bioterrorism and work for its remedial measures and design such strategies. Similarly, the category C laboratories should work to identify those agents that can cause potential damage as a bioterrorism agent in future; they all must act as public health laboratories²⁷ Mostly, microbiologists are capable enough to work out the effects and remedies for bioterrorism and the population suffering or at risk to suffer from its impacts.²⁸ However, toxicologists also have a significant role to offer in this regard, as they are the ones who can identify the toxins from food, air, water, and even soil sources that can have an impact on the entire population and pose a risk to the overall public health.

Impacts

Bioterrorism and its potential for mass destruction have been subjects of increasing international concern. Approximately 17 countries (including five implicated as sponsors of international terrorism) may have active research and development programs for biologic weapons²⁹. Moreover, groups and individuals with grievances against the government or society have been known to use or plan to use biological weapons to further personal causes.³⁰ Only modest microbiologic skills are needed to produce and effectively use biologic weapons. The greatest, but not insurmountable, hurdle in such an endeavor may be gaining access to a virulent strain of the desired agent³¹. Production costs are low, and aerosol dispersal equipment from commercial sources can be adapted for biologic weapon dissemination. Bioterrorists operating in a civilian environment have relative freedom of movement, which could allow them to use freshly grown microbial suspensions (storage reduces viability and virulence.³² Moreover, bioterrorists may not be constrained by the need for precise targeting or predictable results.

The impact of a bioterrorist attack depends on the specific agent or toxin used, the method and efficiency of dispersal, the population exposed, the level of immunity in the population, the availability of effective postexposure and/or therapeutic regimens, and the potential for secondary transmission³³. Understanding and quantifying the impact of a bioterrorist attack are

essential to developing an effective response.

Biothreat organisms

The biothreat organisms are classified into 3 categories. They are Category A that can be easily disseminated or transmitted from person to person; result in high mortality rates and have the potential for major public health impact; might cause public panic and social disruption; and require special action for public health preparedness.³⁴ The organisms are Anthrax (*Bacillus anthracis*) Botulism (*Clostridium botulinum* toxin) Plague (*Yersinia pestis*) Smallpox (*variola major*) Tularemia (*Francisella tularensis*) Viral hemorrhagic fevers, including Filoviruses (Ebola, Marburg) Arenaviruses (Lassa, Machupo).³⁵ Category B that are moderately easy to disseminate; result in moderate morbidity rates and low mortality rates; and require specific enhancements of CDC's diagnostic capacity and enhanced disease surveillance³⁶. Among the bacteria that colonise the mouth, treponemes dominate because they proliferate rapidly in devitalised tissue with the availability of a simple source of nutrient. The organisms are Brucellosis (*Brucella* species) Epsilon toxin of *Clostridium perfringens*. Food safety threats (*Salmonella* species, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Shigella*) Glanders (*Burkholderia mallei*) Melioidosis (*Burkholderia pseudomallei*) Psittacosis (*Chlamydia psittaci*) Q fever (*Coxiella burnetii*)³⁷. Category C that are available; ease of production and dissemination; and potential for high morbidity and mortality rates and major health impact. The organisms are Nipah virus and hantavirus and now coronavirus too.³⁸

1.6 Preventive measures

The prevention of use of biological weapons should endure to be a top priority for the nation. In the production of bioweapons, which deliver a highly infectious, contagious and lethal pathogen, relatively smaller investment of money, time and effort are required. Recent advances in biotechnology, bioinformatics and molecular genetics has great benefits for human health, but state proliferators and sophisticated terrorists misuse the results of such research to develop more effective biological weapons³⁹. The knowledge, materials, and technologies needed to make and use a biological weapon are readily accessible around the world. Pathogens are pervasive in nature and can be found everywhere.

The possibility of synthesizing pathogens particularly viruses, using technologies are inexpensive and globally available. Public health, medical, military, and law enforcement experts have met in a number of settings in efforts to identify the most threatening of the biological weapons, specifically those weapons that merit priority concern in the development of public health and medical preparedness measures.

During an event of biological warfare, it is not the muscle power or the powerful ammunition that will come to the rescue, it is the efficiency of an applied science methodology from microbiological scientists that will protect the soldiers and citizens. During an emergency, an immediate intervention will be the need of the hour to reduce the mortality and morbidity rate. Antibiotics, active and passive immunizations, and antiviral agents can be used for this purpose. Since diseases resulting from biological warfare agents and disasters have an incubation period of days, there is a short time period in which proper post exposure prophylaxis may be given.

Conclusion

Bioterrorism is real and evident and persistent in the modern era. During an emergency, an immediate intervention will be the need of the hour to reduce the mortality and morbidity rate. Antibiotics, active and passive immunizations, and antiviral agents can be used for this purpose. Since diseases resulting from biological warfare agents have an incubation period of days, there is a short time period in which proper post exposure prophylaxis may be given. Detection of the pathogenic agent and intervention with appropriate drugs with proper knowledge and awareness of the public is essential to control the spread of the disease.

Acknowledgement: We thank Saveetha Dental College for the support to carry out this study.

Conflict of Interest: Nil

Ethical Clearance: As it is a review article so it is not required.

References

1. Barras V, Greub G. History of biological warfare and bioterrorism. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2014 Jun;20(6):497–502.

2. Ashwin KS, Muralidharan NP. Vancomycin-resistant enterococcus (VRE) vs Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) [Internet]. Vol. 33, Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology. 2015. p. 166. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0255-0857.150976>
3. Sidell FR, Takafuji ET, Franz DR. Medical Aspects of Chemical and Biological Warfare [Internet]. 1997. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21236/ada398241>
4. Girija ASS, Smiline Girija AS, Vijayashree Priyadharsini J, Paramasivam A. Plasmid-encoded resistance to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole mediated by dfrA1, dfrA5, sul1 and sul2 among Acinetobacter baumannii isolated from urine samples of patients with severe urinary tract infection [Internet]. Vol. 17, Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance. 2019. p. 145–6. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2019.04.001>
5. Selvakumar R, Np M. COMPARISON IN BENEFITS OF HERBAL MOUTHWASHES WITH CHLORHEXIDINE MOUTHWASH: A REVIEW [Internet]. Vol. 10, Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research. 2017. p. 3. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ajpcr.2017.v10i2.13304>
6. Bravata DM, McDonald KM, Smith WM, Rydzak C, Szeto H, Buckeridge DL, et al. Systematic review: surveillance systems for early detection of bioterrorism-related diseases. Ann Intern Med. 2004 Jun 1;140(11):910–22.
7. Shahana RY, Muralidharan NP. Efficacy of mouth rinse in maintaining oral health of patients attending orthodontic clinics [Internet]. Vol. 9, Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology. 2016. p. 1991. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/0974-360x.2016.00406.6>
8. Stephenson J. Bird Flu Vaccines [Internet]. Vol. 297, JAMA. 2007. p. 1182. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.297.11.1182-a>
9. Burck GM, Flowerree CC, Federation of American Scientists. International handbook on chemical weapons proliferation. Greenwood Pub Group; 1991. 650 p.
10. Marickar RF, Geetha RV, Neelakantan P. Efficacy of Contemporary and Novel Intracanal Medicaments against Enterococcus Faecalis [Internet]. Vol. 39, Journal of Clinical Pediatric Dentistry. 2014. p. 47–50. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.17796/jcpd.39.1.wmw9768314h56666>
11. Çankaya S, Kibaroglu M. Bioterrorism: Threats and Deterrents. IOS Press; 2010. 99 p.
12. Website [Internet]. [cited 2020 Jun 3]. Available from: 8.CDC . 2018. Data and Statistics about Tuberculosis Around the World. <https://cdc.gov/tb/statistics/default.htm/>
13. de Clercq E, Kern ER. Handbook of Viral Bioterrorism and Biodefense. Elsevier; 2003. 150 p.
14. Dhama K, Chakrabort S, Tiwari R, Kumar A, Rahal A, Latheef SK, et al. Avian/Bird Flu Virus: Poultry Pathogen Having Zoonotic and Pandemic Threats: A Review [Internet]. Vol. 13, Journal of Medical Sciences (Faisalabad). 2013. p. 301–15. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/jms.2013.301.315>
15. Pratha AA, Ashwatha Pratha A, Geetha RV. Awareness on Hepatitis-B vaccination among dental students-A Questionnaire Survey [Internet]. Vol. 10, Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology. 2017. p. 1360. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/0974-360x.2017.00240.2>
16. Conan A, Goutard FL, Sorn S, Vong S. Biosecurity measures for backyard poultry in developing countries: a systematic review [Internet]. Vol. 8, BMC Veterinary Research. 2012. p. 240. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1746-6148-8-240>
17. Sacli Y. ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING RED MEAT AND CHICKEN MEAT CONSUMPTION IN TURKEY USING AN IDEAL DEMAND SYSTEM MODEL [Internet]. Vol. 54, Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2017. p. 931–40. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21162/pakjas/17.5849>
18. Girija As S, Priyadharsini J V. CLSI based antibiogram profile and the detection of MDR and XDR strains isolated from urine samples. Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2019 Feb 8;33:3.
19. Vaishali M, Geetha RV. Antibacterial activity of Orange peel oil on Streptococcus mutans and Enterococcus-An In-vitro study [Internet]. Vol. 11, Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology.

2018. p. 513. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/0974-360x.2018.00094.x>
20. Bowers WT. Operation Swing—The Thrust Northhand the “Swing [Internet]. Striking Back. 2009. p. 263–311. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5810/kentucky/9780813125640.003.0010>
21. Gleick PH. Water and terrorism [Internet]. Vol. 8, Water Policy. 2006. p. 481–503. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2166/wp.2006.035>
22. Henderson DA. Bioterrorism as a Public Health Threat [Internet]. Vol. 4, Emerging Infectious Diseases. 1998. p. 488–92. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid0403.980340>
23. M MA, Geetha RV, Thangavelu L. Evaluation of anti-inflammatory action of *Laurus nobilis*-an in vitro study of anti-inflammatory action of *Laurus nobilis*-an in vitro study [Internet]. Vol. 10, International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2019. p. 1209–13. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v10i2.408>
24. Hugh Jones M. Wickham steed and German biological warfare research [Internet]. Vol. 7, Intelligence and National Security. 1992. p. 379–402. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02684529208432176>
25. Hussain M, Irshad H, Khan MQ. Laboratory Diagnosis of Transboundary Animal Diseases in Pakistan [Internet]. Vol. 55, Transboundary and Emerging Diseases. 2008. p. 190–5. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1865-1682.2008.01026.x>
26. Khardori N. Bioterrorism Preparedness: Medicine - Public Health - Policy. John Wiley & Sons; 2006. 275 p.
27. Jahan F. Dengue Fever (DF) in Pakistan. *Asia Pac Fam Med*. 2011 Feb 24;10(1):1.
28. Girija SAS, Jayaseelan VP, Arumugam P. Prevalence of VIM- and GIM-producing *Acinetobacter baumannii* from patients with severe urinary tract infection [Internet]. Vol. 65, *Acta Microbiologica et Immunologica Hungarica*. 2018. p. 539–50. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1556/030.65.2018.038>
29. Ryan J, Glarum J. Biosecurity and Bioterrorism: Containing and Preventing Biological Threats. Butterworth-Heinemann; 2011. 352 p.
30. Smiline ASG, Vijayashree JP, Paramasivam A. Molecular characterization of plasmid-encoded blaTEM, blaSHV and blaCTX-M among extended spectrum β -lactamases [ESBLs] producing *Acinetobacter baumannii* [Internet]. Vol. 75, *British Journal of Biomedical Science*. 2018. p. 200–2. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09674845.2018.1492207>
31. Tanveer ATKF, Ali Talha Khalil. Pakistans Bio-Preparedness With Regard To Biosecurity, Biodefense Strategies and Policy Measures [Internet]. Vol. 06, *Journal of Bioterrorism & Biodefense*. 2015. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2157-2526.1000132>
32. Paramasivam A, Vijayashree Priyadharsini J, Raghunandhakumar S. N6-adenosine methylation (m6A): a promising new molecular target in hypertension and cardiovascular diseases. *Hypertens Res*. 2020 Feb;43(2):153–4.
33. Khan K. Malaria burden in human population of Khanozai, Pakistan [Internet]. Vol. 7, *Pure and Applied Biology*. 2018. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.19045/bspab.2018.70050>
34. Priyadharsini JV, Vijayashree Priyadharsini J, Smiline Girija AS, Paramasivam A. An insight into the emergence of *Acinetobacter baumannii* as an oro-dental pathogen and its drug resistance gene profile – An in silico approach [Internet]. Vol. 4, *Heliyon*. 2018. p. e01051. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e01051>
35. Khan SA, Hashmi I, Ranjha AN, Yosufzai MK, Hashmi SK, Anjum Q. Awareness of bird flu amongst young college students. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2008 Aug;58(8):466–9.
36. Priyadharsini JV, Vijayashree Priyadharsini J, Smiline Girija AS, Paramasivam A. In silico analysis of virulence genes in an emerging dental pathogen *A. baumannii* and related species [Internet]. Vol. 94, *Archives of Oral Biology*. 2018. p. 93–8. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archoralbio.2018.07.001>
37. Khardori N. Bioterrorism and Bioterrorism Preparedness: Historical Perspective and Overview [Internet]. Vol. 20, *Infectious Disease Clinics of*

- North America. 2006. p. 179–211. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.idc.2006.03.007>
38. Lim DV, Simpson JM, Kearns EA, Kramer MF. Current and developing technologies for monitoring agents of bioterrorism and biowarfare. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2005 Oct;18(4):583–607.
39. Shahzan MS, Sohaib Shahzan M, Smiline Girija AS, Vijayashree Priyadharsini J. A computational study targeting the mutated L321F of ERG11 gene in *C. albicans*, associated with fluconazole resistance with bioactive compounds from *Acacia nilotica* [Internet]. Vol. 29, *Journal de Mycologie Médicale.* 2019. p. 303–9. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mycmed.2019.100899>