# Risk factors of Prostate Enlargement among Patients with History of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms 

Mazin AnwerYadgar Al-Obaidi ${ }^{1}$; Abid Ahmad Salman Al-Mahmood ${ }^{2}$; Suha Karam Jameel ${ }^{3}$; Azzawi M Hadi ${ }^{4}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Assist Prof, Urosurgery, College of Medicine, Tikrit, Iraq; ${ }^{2}$ Prof Community Medicine, College of Medicine, Tikrit University, Tikrit, Iraq; ${ }^{3}$ Specialist, Tikrit Health Center Office; ${ }^{4}$ Prof College of medicine, Ninevah University, Department of Surgery


#### Abstract

Background: Prostate enlargement is defined as increase in size of prostate gland. The diseasemay be presented clinically as lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS). The disease is increasing with age. There are many risk factors as age, genetic, geographical, obesity, physical activity, diabetes mellitus, hypertension. Subjects and Method: A descriptive study was conducted on patients with lower urinary tract symptoms who were attending Tikrit teaching hospital outpatient's clinic during the period from $1^{\text {st }}$ July $-1^{\text {st }}$ October2018. The demographic characterestics of patients were obtained according to a questionnaire and the patients were examined clinically to determine if there was enlargement of prostate or not. The diagnoses was confirmed by PR and ultrasound examination.Results: The frequency of among sample study was ( $83 \%$ ). The cases were more prevalent among the followings:age group 60 years and more( $94.3 \%$ ), positive family history ( $89.7 \%$ ),low physical activity ( $93.4 \% \%$ ) ,hypertensives ( $87.4 \%$ ) and with those with erectile dysfunction (94.9\%).
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## Introduction

Prostate enlargement means there is an increase in size of the prostate gland. The clinical features includes frequent urination, weak stream, loss of bladder control ,inability to urinate and difficulty in starting urination ${ }^{(1)}$. This disease may lead to many complications such as bladder stone ,infection and chronic renal diseases. ${ }^{(2)}$

The causes are unclear ${ }^{(\mathbf{1})}$. About 105 million men are affected in the world ${ }^{(3)}$.The disease begins after age $40^{(1)}$.and half of males age 50 and over are affected ${ }^{(2)}$. and the age after 80 years about $90 \%$ of males are affected ${ }^{(1)}$. and reach in $2010(6 \%)$ of population ${ }^{(4,5,6)}$.

Prostate enlargement rises markedly with increased age (5-7) and may reach in male freely asymptomatic with age46 years ,the risk of developing the disease in the next 30 years, may reach $45 \%{ }^{(8)}$.The older age will be at risk to be affected with clinical features ofthe disease ${ }^{(9-11)}$

There are other risk factors other than aging which are positive family history ${ }^{(12-14)}$ ),obesity ${ }^{(15,16)}$, diabetes mellites type 2 . hypertension ${ }^{(17)}$, not enough exercise ${ }^{(18,19)}$,erectile dysfunction ${ }^{(1)}$.Eating red meat,fat,dairy product and starch increase risk while eating vegetables,fruits decrease the risk ${ }^{(19,20)}$

Some drugs like calcium channel blockers ,anticholinergics and pseudoephedrine may worsen symptoms ${ }^{(2)}$

Diagnosis is depend on clinical bases which based on lower urinary tract symptoms ,digital rectal examination then Ultrasound ${ }^{(2)}$

## Patients and Methods

A descriptivestudy was conducted onadults attending urology outpatient clinic in Tikrit general hospital. The study started from $1^{\text {st }}$ July $-1^{\text {st }}$ October2018. The patients were diagnosed clinically by the specialist and sent for
further investigation as ultrasound. The sample study individuals demographic information was obtained according to structured- designed questionnaire and by direct interview. Body weight, height, were measured in addition to obtain from patients other investigation
which help in relation with common risk factors.
Statistical Analysis: Frequencies, per cent and Chisquare test was used to assess association. Statistical analysis at p-value $<0.05$ was considered significant.

## Results

It has been revealed that the frequency of prostate enlargement among study sample was about ( $83 \%$ )(Fig.1).


Fig. 1: Distribution of sample study according to presence of prostate enlargement

The frequency of prostate enlargement was progressively increased with age group, the highest frequency was among age group 60 years and more (94.3\%) followed by age group 50-60 years (87.1\%) and the less frequency among age group less than 50 years (44.4\%) with significant difference.

Regarding the residence factor, there was no statically difference between urban and rural area patients even there was slightly high frequency among rural area( $84.7 \%$ ). There frequency of prostate enlargement cases was more frequent among the patient with a positive family history (89.7\%) with a significant difference. Table (1)

Table(1)Distribution of cases according to certain demographic characterestics.

|  |  | Normal size prostate | Enlarged prostate | Total | Chi square test |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age groups | Less than 50 years | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ \mathbf{5 5 5 . 6 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (44.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $P$-value is 0.000013 . Significant at $P<0.05$ |
|  | 50-59 years | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (12.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ (87.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 60 years and more | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (5.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (94.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (37 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ (83 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 115 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Residence | Urban | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (19.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ (80.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $P$-value is 0.534855 . Not <br> Significant at $P<0.05$ |
|  | Rural | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (15.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (84.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (37 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ (83 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 115 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Family history | Positive | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (10.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ (89.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $P$-value is 0.00044 . Significant at$P<0.05$ |
|  | Negative | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (39.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (60.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (37 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ (83 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 115 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |

The frequency of cases with prostate enlargement was higher among patents with low physical activity (93.4\%) than those with high physical activity (62.5\%), the difference was highly significant.

Regarding the presence of obesity, prostate enlargement cases were higher among obese than nonobese patient ( $83.3 \%, 82.4 \%$ respectively), but there was no significant difference.

Hypertensive patients had more frequent cases than normotensive( $87.4 \%, 60 \%$ respectively) and there was a significant difference).

Regarding presence of diabetes mellitus, prostate enlargement was more frequent among diabetics than
nondiabetics $(83.3 \%, 82.4 \%$ respectively) with no significant difference.

It has been reported that prostate enlargement cases were more frequent among smokers than nonsmokers' cases $(85.2 \%, 79.6 \%$ respectively) but there was no significant difference.

There was a significant relation between erectile dysfunction and presence of prostate enlargement. The frequency of prostate enlargement cases was higher among patients with erectile dysfunction than those without erectile dysfunction (94.9\%, 69.6\% respectively). Table (2).

Table (2) Distribution of cases according to certain risk factors.

|  |  | Normal prostate | Enlarged prostate | Total | Chi square test |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical activity | Low | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (6.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ (93.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ | P -value is 0.000033 . Significant at$\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |
|  | High | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (37.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ (62.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (37 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ (83 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 115 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Obesity | Normal | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (17.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ (82.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ | P-value is 0.916148 . Not significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |
|  | Obese | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (16.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (83.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (37 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ (83 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 115 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Hypertension | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (12.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ (87.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ | P -value is 0.003336 . Significant at$\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |
|  | No | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (40 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (60 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (37 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ (83 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 115 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Diabetes mellitus | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (16.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ (83.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ | P -value is 0.87642 . Not significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |
|  | No | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (17.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ (82.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (37 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ (83 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 115 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Smoking | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (14.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ (85.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ | P -value is 0.427768 . Not significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |
|  | No | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (20.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ (79.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (37 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ (83 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 115 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Erectile dysfunction | Yes | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ (94.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ | P -value is 0.000352 . Significant at$\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |
|  | No | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (30.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ (69.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (37 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ (83 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 115 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |

## Discussion

The frequency of prostate enlargement in the current study was about ( $83 \%$ ). This frequency is higher to that reported in general population ,the prevalence of $8 \%, 50 \%$, and $80 \%$ in the 4 th, 6th, and 9 th decades of life, respectively(9-11). This result may be attributed to that our study result was obtained from the patients with lower urinary tract symptoms which is considered as a risk factor of prostate enlargements ${ }^{(21-24)}$

The prostate enlargement cases in this study was increasing with increasing age and it was with high frequency among age group (60 years and more) ( $94.3 \%$ ) followed by age( 50-59)years(87.1\%) with significant difference. This results were similar to studies report that prostate enlargement increasing positively with increasedage(25). Many researchers revealed that older age is a risk factor of prostate enlargement ${ }^{(9-11,26-29)}$.

According to residence there were slightly high frequency among rural (84.7\%)than urban (80.4\%) residence but without significant difference.

There was highly significant difference in the current study between those with positive family history of prostate enlargement and those without for occurrence of the disease. The disease was high frequent among those with positive family history (89.7\%) than those without $(60.7 \%)$. This result was similar to that documented by others $\cdot(\mathbf{1 2 , 1 4 , 3 0 , 3 1 )}$

Prostate enlargement cases in our study were more frequent among patients with low physical activity (93.4\%) than those with high physical activity (62.5\%) with significant difference. This results were similar to that reported by other in which they revealed that moderate to vigorous physical activity was a protective factors from the disease ${ }^{(19,18)}$

It has been found in the current study that there were no significant difference between obese and nonobese and between diabetics and non-diabetics even that obesity and diabetes mellites are considered as a risk factors of prostate enlargements ${ }^{(15,16)}$.

Regarding hypertension disease it was documented
that prostate enlargement cases were more prevalent among hypertensive patients (87.4\%) than nonhypertensive( $60 \%$ ) with significant difference. This result is going to results which consider hypertension is a risk factor of the disease ${ }^{(17) .}$

Regarding smoking habit ,the disease was more frequent among smoker than nonsmoker with no significant difference. This result is with agreement of other studies ${ }^{(32)}$ Zheeno found that most of patients with benign prostatic enlargement were nonsmokers.

Regarding erectile dysfunction, it has been reported in the current study that prostate enlargement cases were more frequent among patients with erectile dysfunction (94.9\%) than those with normal erectile function(69.6\%) with significant difference. This evidence support the relation between erectile dysfunction and prostate enlargements ${ }^{(21-24)}$

Conclusions The current study revealed that there are a significant association between hyperchloremia and hypertension, cardiac diseases and diabetes mellites.
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