A Review on Machine Learning Techniques in the Diagnosis of Psychiatric Disorders

Mohit Gangwar[1], Sapna Singh[2], Rohit Srivastava[3], Rohit[3], Chandrabhan Singh[3], Neha Goyal[4], Himanshu Kumar Shukla[5]

[1]Dean-Engineering, Bhabha University, Bhopal, MP, India, [2]Associate Professor, Department of Management, SRK University, Bhopal, MP, India, [3]Asst. Prof., Department of CSE, Faculty of Engineering, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, UP, India, [4]Assistant Professor, Department of CSE, BNCET, Lucknow, UP, India, [5]Assistant Professor, Department of CSE, KMC Urdu-Arbi Farshi University, Lucknow, UP, India

Abstract

Diagnosis of psychiatric disorder is intricate clinical entity that could pose challenges for clinicians involving both accurate identification and effective timely diagnosis. These battles have prompted the evolution of multiple machine learning approaches to help improve the management of the disorder. These methods use clinical, anatomical and physiological information and symptoms obtained from neuroimaging and from clinical investigation to make diagnosis system that may identify psychiatric patients as compared to non-psychiatric patients and predict diagnosis results. This review paper introduces a background on psychiatric disorder, imaging and machine learning methods. This review paper also discussed about the methodologies of previous studies which have implemented imaging and machine learning in the diagnosis of psychiatric disorder and give directions for future use of machine learning techniques in psychiatric-related studies.

Key Words: *Machine Learning, Psychiatric Disorder, Neuroimaging, Magnetic Resonance Imaging.*

Introduction

Machine Learning (ML) is a branch of computer science that uses software and data analysis to develop programs that perform a task. For example, a computer can be taught to play chess, or a computer program can be trained to identify cats or dogs in a series of images. When doctors are looking for ways to diagnose patients who have a mental illness, machines can be used to do so by using ML software. It is interesting to note that ML software is not a new idea.

ML algorithms can efficiently leverage cohort information to create classifiers and assess the sensitivity and specificity of parameters connected to diagnostic validity to the initial and revised diagnostic test tools. ML algorithms have been employed to shorten several scales, like the Social Responsiveness Scale (SRS) for behavioural differentiation between autism and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) [1].

Some of the areas where computers will play large role in the psychiatric field are not a surprise to those who study psychology. For example, after the development of Positron Emission Tomography (PET), the use of the PET scanner has been the basis for testing the effects of pharmaceuticals such as the antidepressant drugs like Prozac on the brain. Using the PET scanner, researchers are able to see the amount of serotonin being released into the brain. This is a very important aspect of drug treatment and development.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is an emerging technology that has enabled doctors to get a detailed view of a patient's brain as it functions and what is going on when a patient is undergoing a medical procedure.

Comorbidity is complex situation and it's hard to understand in the interpretation and diagnosis of

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Sapna Singh
E-mail: sapnasinghmg@gmail.com

psychiatric disorder. The notion of comorbidity was originally operationalized by Feinstein[2] when he had been worried with the medicating confounds of treating patients with disorders like rheumatic fever who concurrently suffered from multiple ailments. Conceptualising comorbidity, nevertheless, has not established so simple and considering by the Feinstein[2], not having a legitimate definition has contributed to it depicting a heterogeneous expression in the lack of its nosology. What's more, it's used synonymously with multimorbidity, though the latter is much more commonly known if the majority of disorders are non-defined within their own right, together with comorbidity compared, found as a coexistence of ailments[3]. In addition, in psychiatry, psychology, and mental health counselling; comorbidity was known as the existence and identification of more than one diseases happening in a person at precisely the exact same moment[4]. Here we reflect about the definition and reference it because the coexistence of not just two or more ailments but additionally, diseases which may be described as chronic and even though they may be pathologically associated with one another, they also behave independently[5].

There are numerous conventional statistical approaches to examine if this routine suggests the greater chance of comorbidity of the above mentioned, however they take certain inherent limitations[6]. ML has revealed particular benefits in analyzing potential predictors concurrently in an impartial fashion, notably its capacity to spot patterns of data within useful attributes such as the prediction of an outcome of interest. Several researchers implemented ML methods on different medical data and information to examine whether comorbidity are significant longitudinal predictors of impaired cognition[7].

ML can be used to check the capacity of every MRI step as an important biomarker for psychiatric diagnosis[8]. ML is made up of set of processes used to develop prediction models from empirical data to produce precise predictions about new information. Based upon the information three potential kinds of learning could be utilized, such as supervised learning, semi-supervised learning, and unsupervised learning. Supervised learning is done if all the information is tagged; semi-supervised learning is done whenever there is unlabeled data combined with tagged information; and, unsupervised learning is done once all the information is unlabeled. Learning methods can be classified into linear and nonlinear procedures. Linear methods are more straightforward, while nonlinear methods are more elastic in character. Classification-based methods try to classify the information by different and categorical labels, whereas regression-based processes fit the data to a constant function and so work with constant tags to the information. For unsupervised learning, the approaches are mostly categorized as clustering approaches that set the information into clusters according to inherent similarities. Most of the researches have used supervised learning procedures to implement methods in their models[9].

A major change in the field of Psychiatry and Neuropsychiatric is the potential use of technology to make diagnosis faster. We all need to realize that it can take time to find out what the root cause of a problem is. Using a computer, machine learning software with MRI and PET scanners can make a diagnosis faster and more accurate than the traditional methods.

This review paper stating the ML techniques applied with the use of fast and high performance computers and the use of latest digital technology for supporting the doctors for the diagnosis purpose and we can get reliable diagnosis. Section 1 is presenting the introductory view about the psychiatric disorder and about the ML techniques for psychiatric diagnosis in this paper. Section 2 present the reviews of different ML work in the area of psychiatric diagnosis. Finally the Section 3 presenting the conclusion and presenting the need of the wide scope of ML application in psychiatric diagnosis.

Related Work

ML approaches are sensitive to ease inference in the single-subject degree, and may identify spatially dispersed patterns in the mind which may be undetectable using set comparisons. Recently, an increasing number of studies have applied ML approaches to neuroimaging data to forecast and describe psychiatric ailments[10,11], in addition to Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) With a Multivariate Voxel Pattern Investigation (MVPA) or supervised ML[12]; an individual can classify psychiatric disorder in person neuroimaging data. In keeping with this belief, it's been indicated further the multivariate patterns of mind changes detected by system learning might be exceptionally sensitive to operational changes in the brain as a consequence of psychiatric disorder, and thus can facilitate the translation of neuroimaging in the chair to the bedside.

Sato et al.[13] Implemented technique which includes four phases. In the first phase, SNPs together with the most discriminating info between the nutritious controls and schizophrenia sufferers are chosen to build a support vector machine outfit (SNP-SVME). In the second and third phase, Voxels from the fMRI map leading to classification are chosen to create yet another SVME (Voxel-SVME). In the fourth phase, the above three models are all combined to one module by means of a vast majority voting strategy to produce a last choice (Mixed SNP-fMRI). Experimental results demonstrate that better classification accuracy was attained by mixing genetic and fMRI information than using either alone, suggesting that genetic or mind function representing distinct, but partly complementary facets, of schizophrenia etiopathology. This study indicates an efficient means to reassess biological classification of people with schizophrenia, which can be potentially helpful for identifying diagnostically significant markers for the disease.

After emotional injury, why do some just some pieces of the traumatic event reunite as intrusive memories while some don't? Intrusive memories are crucial to cognitive behavioural therapy for post-traumatic anxiety disease, and an aetiological comprehension is justified. Clark et al.[14] presented investigations using multivariate pattern analysis (MVPA) plus also a machine learning classifier to research if peri-traumatic brain stimulation managed to forecast later intrusive memories (i.e. until they'd occurred). To supply a methodological foundation for knowing the context of the recent outcomes, Clark et al.[14] first demonstrate how functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) through an experimental analogue of injury (an injury movie) via a potential event-related design managed to catch a person's subsequent intrusive memories. Results revealed widespread increases in brain activation at communicating when seeing a scene from the scanner which would later reunite as an intrusive memory from the actual world. All these fMRI results were duplicated in another study. Employing MVPA and also a machine learning classifier, it had been possible to forecast afterwards intrusive memories around participants using 68% precision, and within a participant with 97% precision; i.e. that the classifier could identify from numerous scenes people who would later reunite as a intrusive memory. In addition, we report here mind networks crucial in intrusive memory forecast. MVPA unlocks the prospect of decoding brain action to rebuild idiosyncratic cognitive events with regard to understanding and forecasting mental health symptoms.

There are no neuroanatomical biomarkers of anorexia nervosa (AN) Accessible to create clinical Inferences in a single subject level. Lavagnino et al.[15] provide results of a multivariate ML system using structural neuroanatomical scan information to distinguish a patient from matched healthy controls in a single subject level. Neuroanatomical volumes were pulled using the FreeSurfer applications and enter into the Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO) multivariate ML algorithm. LASSO has been trained to spot book individual topics as a patients or wholesome controls. What's more, the model estimated that the probability that a single theme belonged to the A group according to a single scan. The model accurately predicted 25 from 30 subjects, translating into 83.3% accuracy (sensitivity 86.7 percent, specificity 80.0 percent) ($p < 0.001$; χ 2 evaluation). The predicted probabilities revealed a linear connection with drive for thinness clinical trials ($r = 0.52$, $p < 0.005$) and with body mass index (BMI) ($r = -0.45$, $p = 0.01$). The model attained a Fantastic predictive precision and drive for thinness revealed a powerful neuroanatomical Signature. These outcomes imply that neuroimaging scans combined with ML techniques have the potential to supply Information for an individual topic level that may be related to clinical results.

Cognitive behavioural treatment for psychosis (CBTp) entails assisting patients to understand and reframe threatening examinations of the psychotic experiences to decrease distress and boost operation. Whilst CBTp is successful for all, it isn't effective for many patients and the variables predicting a fantastic outcome remain poorly known. ML is a highly effective approach which enables new predictors to be identified within a manner that is qualitative, which may inform understanding of these mechanics inherent therapeutic interventions, and finally make predictions about symptom development in the individual patient level. Thirty-eight patients having a diagnosis of schizophrenia finished a societal affect activity during functional MRI. The models predicted progress in psychotic (r =0.63, respectively de =0.003) and Profession (r =0.31, respectively de =0.05) symptoms after CBTp, but maybe not at the treatment-as-usual category (n =16). Psychotic symptom development has been called by neural responses to threat-related influence across sensor motor and frontal-limbic areas, whereas affective symptom development has been called by neural responses to fearful faces just in addition to prosocial influence across sensor motor and rectal areas. These findings imply that CBTp probably enhances psychotic and affective symptoms in people endorsing more threatening evaluations and mood-congruent processing biases, respectively that can be researched and reframed as a member of their treatment. This study enhances our comprehension of the neurobiology of therapy response and offers a base that will hopefully result in greater accuracy and tailoring of these interventions provided to patients[16].

Neuroimaging studies have yielded considerable improvements in the understanding of neural systems pertinent to the development and persistence of dependence. Nevertheless, these improvements haven't researched widely for diagnostic precision in human subjects. Mete et al.[17] create a statistical approach, with a ML framework, to properly classify brain pictures of cocaine-dependent participants along with wholesome controls. Within this analysis, a frame acceptable for educing possible brain areas that differed between the 2 groups was designed and implemented. Single Photon Emission Computerized Tomography (SPECT) images acquired through a saline extract in 3 cohorts of two - 4 week abstinent cocaine-dependent participants (n=93) and wholesome controls (n=69) were utilized to create a classification version. A data theoretic-based attribute selection algorithm was initially conducted to decrease the amount of voxels. A density-based clustering algorithm was then utilized to form spatially attached voxel clouds in three-dimensional space. A statistical classifier, Service Vectors Machine (SVM), was subsequently used for participant classification. Statistically insignificant voxels of spatially connected brain areas were eliminated iteratively and classification accuracy was reported via the iterations. A lot of the 30 chosen clusters are highly pertinent to this addictive process, including areas pertinent to cognitive management, default style network associated self-referential believed, behavioural inhibition, and contextual memories. Relative Behaviour and hypo activity of regional cerebral blood circulation in brain areas in cocaine-dependent participants are introduced with corresponding amount of importance. The SVM-based strategy successfully categorized cocaine-dependent and healthy control participants with voxels chosen with info theoretic-based and statistical approaches from participants' SPECT data. The areas present within this study align with mind areas reported from the literature. These findings support the potential use of brain imaging and SVM-based classifier from the analysis of substance use disorders and furthering an understanding of their underlying pathology.

Conclusion

When the issue of psychiatric study was that there was insufficient information. Now, with all the speed of technological improvements that have happened in the current century at neuroimaging, we're at risk of becoming overwhelmed by a quantity of information which the individual brain, helped only by conventional statistical procedures, can't assimilate and incorporate. We suggest a set of alternatives to 21st century psychiatry's data overload problems is supplied by machine ML and specifically from a branch that's now often referred to as statistical learning. Besides these statements, prototypes learnt by ML techniques may assist in better understanding the features of each course. The percentage of these with the identification of psychiatric disorder in different medical data has been little.

Ethical Clearance: Permissions for carrying out the study were obtained from the Authorities at RKDF Medical College Hospital and Research Centre, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India.

Source of Funding: Self

Conflict of Interest: Nil.

References

1. Duda M, Haber N, Daniels J, Wall DP. Crowdsourced validation of a machine-learning classification system for autism and ADHD.

Translational psychiatry. 2017 May;7(5):e1133-.

2. Feinstein AR. The pre-therapeutic classification of co-morbidity in chronic disease. Journal of chronic diseases. 1970 Dec 1;23(7):455-68.
3. Cloninger CR. Implications of comorbidity for the classification of mental disorders: the need for a psychobiology of coherence. Psychiatric diagnosis and classification. 2002 Apr 15;79:106.
4. Meghani SH, Buck HG, Dickson VV, Hammer MJ, Rabelo-Silva ER, Clark R, Naylor MD. The conceptualization and measurement of comorbidity: a review of the interprofessional discourse. Nursing research and practice. 2013;2013.
5. Angold A, Costello EJ. Depressive comorbidity in children and adolescents. American journal of Psychiatry. 1993 Dec;150(12):1779-91.
6. Maser JD. Comorbidity of mood and anxiety disorders. American Psychiatric Pub; 1990.
7. Mehta P, Bukov M, Wang CH, Day AG, Richardson C, Fisher CK, Schwab DJ. A high-bias, low-variance introduction to machine learning for physicists. Physics reports. 2019 Mar 14.
8. Moradi E, Pepe A, Gaser C, Huttunen H, Tohka J, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Machine learning framework for early MRI-based Alzheimer's conversion prediction in MCI subjects. Neuroimage. 2015 Jan 1;104:398-412.
9. Dwyer DB, Falkai P, Koutsouleris N. Machine learning approaches for clinical psychology and psychiatry. Annual review of clinical psychology. 2018 May 7;14:91-118.
10. Schnack HG. Improving individual predictions: machine learning approaches for detecting and attacking heterogeneity in schizophrenia (and other psychiatric diseases). Schizophrenia research. 2019 Dec 1;214:34-42.
11. Janssen RJ, Mourão-Miranda J, Schnack HG. Making individual prognoses in psychiatry using neuroimaging and machine learning. Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging. 2018 Sep 1;3(9):798-808.
12. Niehaus KE, Clark IA, Bourne C, Mackay CE, Holmes EA, Smith SM, Woolrich MW, Duff EP. MVPA to enhance the study of rare cognitive events: An investigation of experimental PTSD. In2014 International Workshop on Pattern Recognition in Neuroimaging 2014 Jun 4 (pp. 1-4). IEEE.
13. Sato JR, Moll J, Green S, Deakin JF, Thomaz CE, Zahn R. Machine learning algorithm accurately detects fMRI signature of vulnerability to major depression. Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging. 2015 Aug 30;233(2):289-91.
14. Clark IA, Niehaus KE, Duff EP, Di Simplicio MC, Clifford GD, Smith SM, Mackay CE, Woolrich MW, Holmes EA. First steps in using machine learning on fMRI data to predict intrusive memories of traumatic film footage. Behaviour research and therapy. 2014 Nov 1;62:37-46.
15. Lavagnino L, Amianto F, Mwangi B, D'Agata F, Spalatro A, Zunta-Soares GB, Daga GA, Mortara P, Fassino S, Soares JC. Identifying neuroanatomical signatures of anorexia nervosa: a multivariate machine learning approach. Psychological medicine. 2015 Oct;45(13):2805-12.
16. Tolmeijer E, Kumari V, Peters E, Williams SC, Mason L. Using fMRI and machine learning to predict symptom improvement following cognitive behavioural therapy for psychosis. NeuroImage: Clinical. 2018 Jan 1;20:1053-61.
17. Mete M, Sakoglu U, Spence JS, Devous MD, Harris TS, Adinoff B. Successful classification of cocaine dependence using brain imaging: a generalizable machine learning approach. In BMC bioinformatics 2016 Oct (Vol. 17, No. 13, p. 357). BioMed Central.