

Diabetic Retinopathy and Typical Retinitis Pigmentosa

Mandeep Kour¹

¹Senior Resident, Department of Ophthalmology, Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences, Bathinda, Punjab

How to cite this article: Mandeep Kour et al Diabetic Retinopathy and Typical Retinitis Pigmentosa. Volume 13 | Issue 4 | October-December 2022

Abstract

A 39-year-old woman with typical retinitis pigmentosa (RP) for 9 years and a positive family history of night blindness was diagnosed with diabetes mellitus (DM). She developed proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) during the course of disease. She was promptly managed with pan retinal photocoagulation (PRP). PDR developing in a case of typical RP is extremely rare and has not been reported in the literature to date. Recognition of this rare, vision threatening complication, points out a definite need to further look deep into the pathogenesis of diabetic retinopathy.

Keywords: Diabetic, Recognition, Retinal, Retinitis

Introduction

Diabetic retinopathy is an important cause of preventable blindness and retinitis pigmentosa is an ocular condition known to have a protective effect against development of diabetic retinopathy.¹ We describe a case of a 39-year-old woman with typical retinitis pigmentosa who developed proliferative diabetic retinopathy. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case to be reported of proliferative diabetic retinopathy in a case of typical retinitis pigmentosa.

Presentation

A 39-year-old woman presented with painless progressive loss of vision of 1 year duration, more in the left than the right eye. She had night blindness for 9 years and a significant positive family history of night blindness, with her father and two siblings having similar symptoms. She was a diabetic on treatment with oral hypoglycaemic agents and insulin for 2 years. There was no history of other systemic

illness and family history of systemic diseases was unremarkable.

A detailed ocular examination was performed. Visual acuity was 20/30 in both eyes and intraocular pressure 20 mm Hg by non-contact tonometer. Anterior segment evaluation of both eyes showed early posterior sub capsular cataract (P1-P2 by LOCS III classification). The patient did not have evidence of anterior segment inflammation on slit lamp examination. A detailed fundus examination of both eyes revealed typical bony spicule pigmentation in the mid-peripheral region, arteriolar attenuation and pallor of the disc. In addition, micro aneurysms were seen in both eyes and neovascularisation of the disc was noted in the left eye. There was no evidence of vitreous floaters/opacities, retinal vascular sheathing, retinal holes, tears, retinal telangiectasia or subretinal exudation. A clinical diagnosis of bilateral typical retinitis pigmentosa with mild non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy of the right eye and proliferative diabetic retinopathy of the left eye was made.

Corresponding author

Dr mandeep Kaur

Senior resident

AIMSR

E-mail: Harypol278@gmail.com

Mob: 9464780122

Automated perimetry with a Humphrey field analyser revealed bilateral constriction of visual field, which was correlating with the finding of RP. Fluorescein angiography was carried out, which revealed the presence of multiple point hyper-fluorescent areas in the perifoveal region of both eyes with leak in the late phase and blocked fluorescence caused by the bony spicule pigmentation in the mid-periphery. In addition, there was also, in the left eye, hyper-fluorescence at the disc, which increased in the mid to late phase suggestive of definite neovascularisation at the disc. Fluorescein angiography confirmed the clinical diagnosis of proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) in the left eye with neovascularisation of the disc along with presence of microaneurysms and thickening of the macula not involving the centre, and mild non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy in the right eye.

The systemic investigations revealed an uncontrolled hyperglycaemic status with fasting blood glucose of 240 mg% and glycated hemoglobin 8.4%. The patient's blood urea was 23 mg% and serum creatinine was 0.9 mg%. Her biochemical and haematological investigations were unremarkable except for uncontrolled hyperglycaemia.

The patient was promptly managed with pan retinal photocoagulation (PRP) of the left eye in three sittings, and strict glycaemic control. At the end of 1 year follow-up, the patient had a stable disease in the left eye post PRP. The right eye showed progression of the diabetic retinopathy to proliferative stage, with appearance of neovascularisation, which was confirmed by fluorescein angiography. The patient was managed with PRP of the right eye in three sittings.

After 2 years follow-up, the patient has stable disease in both eyes post PRP with absence of leak in the fluorescein angiography. Visual acuity was maintained at 20/40 in both eyes.

Discussion

Diabetic retinopathy and retinitis pigmentosa are two common conditions not shown to coexist in the same individual. In a large population based study, Chen *et al*² clearly showed that retinitis pigmentosa reduces the risk of proliferative diabetic retinopathy. Tarr *et al*³ have given a detailed description of the various interconnecting pathways and key contributors to the development of diabetic retinopathy. Sternberg *et al*

have shown a negative coincidence of DR and RP. They suggested that attenuation of the blood vessels and the presence of early posterior vitreous detachment prevented the progression to proliferative stage.¹ Arden *et al*, in their detailed survey of patients with DM and RP, showed that there was no evidence of proliferative changes in the retina in patients with RP. They explained that the possible loss of rods decreased the severity of hypoxia and production of vascular endothelial growth factor, thereby preventing the changes of diabetic retinopathy from developing in patients with RP.⁴ Spalton *et al*⁵ explained the role of inflammation due to degeneration of photoreceptors and retinal pigment epithelium in the development of retinal oedema.

There are anecdotal reports of retinal vascular abnormalities previously described in RP patients and include a Coats-type RP, sub retinal exudation, retinal detachment, and neovascularisation of disc and periphery. In all these reported cases, loss of receptor cells, RPE dysfunction, altered metabolic environment of the retinal vasculature and capillary non perfusion were identified as possible causes. These cases were not associated with diabetes mellitus.⁶ Hotta and Hotta⁸ described an isolated case of diabetic macular oedema in an RP patient that was managed with trans-Tenon's retrobulbar triamcinolone infusion.

Our patient was a case of typical retinitis pigmentosa with characteristic fundus appearance. The form of inheritance in this patient is unlikely to be of an X linked recessive nature considering that she was a female. The possibility of autosomal dominant or recessive inheritance remains, although we could not perform genetic tests because of logistic and financial constraints. The case under discussion was a known case of RP with uncontrolled hyperglycaemia. She did not have evidence of anterior segment inflammation on slit-lamp examination. On detailed fundus examination, there was no evidence of vitreous floaters/opacities, retinal vascular sheathing, retinal holes, tears, retinal telangiectasia or subretinal exudation. Her biochemical and haematological investigations were unremarkable except for the uncontrolled hyperglycaemia. The presence of microaneurysms and new disc vessels in addition to presence of uncontrolled DM led us to consider PDR in this case. Arden⁴ suggested that the degree of functional retina at the time of onset of DM could influence the development of DR in cases

of RP, which can be considered in our case as well, considering the age of our patient, the duration of RP and the duration of DM.

Ethical Clearance : Taken From Ethical Committee of Institute

Interest of Conflict: none

Funding: self

References

1. Sternberg P Jr, Landers MB III, Wolbarsht M. The negative coincidence of retinitis pigmentosa and proliferative diabetic retinopathy. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1984;97:788-9. 10.1016/0002-9394(84)90518-X [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
2. Chen YF, Chen HY, Lin CC et al. . Retinitis pigmentosa reduces the risk of proliferative diabetic retinopathy: a nationwide population-based cohort study. *PLoS ONE* 2012;7:e45189 10.1371/journal.pone.0045189 [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
3. Tarr JM, Kaul K, Chopra M et al. . Pathophysiology of diabetic retinopathy. *ISRN Ophthalmol* 2013;2013:343560 10.1155/2013/343560 [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
4. Arden GB. The absence of diabetic retinopathy in patients with retinitis pigmentosa: implications for pathophysiology and possible treatment. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2001;85:366-70. 10.1136/bjo.85.3.366 [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
5. Spalton DJ, Bird AC, Cleary PE. Retinitis pigmentosa and retinal oedema. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1978;62:174-82. 10.1136/bjo.62.3.174 [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
6. Khan JA, Ide CH, Strickland MP. Coats'-type retinitis pigmentosa. *Surv Ophthalmol* 1988;32:317-32. 10.1016/0039-6257(88)90094-X [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
7. Uliss AE, Gregor ZJ, Bird AC. Retinitis pigmentosa and retinal neovascularization. *Ophthalmology* 1986;93:1599-603. 10.1016/S0161-6420(86)33539-5 [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
8. Hotta K, Hotta J. Cystoid macular edema related to diabetic retinopathy with Retinitis pigmentosa. *Jpn J Ophthalmol* 2006;50:390-2. 10.1007/s10384-005-0332-7 [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]